



May 5, 2023

Memorandum for Record

FROM: The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Office

SUBJECT: 2021-2022 Closed Investigation List

The term “Redacted or Redacted Narrative” appears on the 2021 and 2022 list of closed investigations, which is not our usual practice. For the sake of transparency, and so that we do not alter the original data entry of the investigative record, HUD OIG has provided the original entries. We are diligently working to correct this practice to ensure the most transparent product is provided to our stakeholders.

HUD OIG FOIA Team

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 1/3/2022 | | <p>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP), DCHA, provided allegations of fraud on the part of several HCVP landlords. *****Redacted Narrative***** In November 2020 HUD OIG initiated an investigation based on information developed from a local housing authority (HA). OIG developed information concerning the ownership interest of Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) landlord in a HCVP rental property/unit wherein their relatives resided- while receiving HCVP rental assistance. OIG conducted a reviewed pertinent HA documents and files. OIG interviewed persons who appear to have known the HCVP landlord and tenant. OIG determined that the landlord did own the property, per deed documentation. The HA removed the HCVP landlord and tenant from the HCVP. Results of this investigation were presented both the US Attorney Office and the HUD Departmental Enforcement Center, who declined to pursue civil prosecution of this matter. Based on the on foregoing, OIG has closed their investigation into this matter.</p> | Investigation complete. USAO declined. Case is being administratively closed. | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP), DCHA, provided allegations of fraud on the part of several HCVP landlords. |
| | 1/4/2022 | | Complainant alleged (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Apartments located in Buffalo, NY is: collecting HAP payments for at least fifteen vacant units due to the tenant moving from project; failing to correct recertification issues to collect higher HAP; management is aware of these issues but won't take action because we would lose too much money; dozens of maintenance issues such as leaking water heaters and roofs, no stoves and refrigerators for months, no heat due to broken furnaces, failing gutters, and collapsing ceilings. Maintenance was allegedly told to ignore work orders. | Administratively Closed | |
| | 1/5/2022 | | Information was received from the QAD that indicated the subject company, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) may have been involved in possible fraud in the use of the FHA mortgage insurance premium program. The QAD discovered a pattern of delinquency and claim rates. The misuse appears to be in refinancing of purchases by another company, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where the initial mortgage is shown to be paid off to indicate it is appropriate for an FHA insured loan, but that mortgage is then later re-filed as a lien on the property. The house is then sold for a much higher value than the refinance amount occurring within a short period of time but frequently going into default. The potential loss to the FHA based on claims is approximately 1.7 million. | Investigation complete. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 1/6/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>HUD OIG received an allegation from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD, Baltimore field office regarding stolen funds at the Elkton Housing Authority (EHA). More specifically, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) EHA made several admissions to the Board member at EHA that she abused EHA's credit card and made personal purchases that she was not entitled to.</p> <p>Redacted HUD OIG received an allegation from HUD, Public and Indian Housing (PIH) Field Office regarding stolen funds at the Elkton Housing Authority (EHA). It was alleged that the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Elkton Housing Authority (EHA) made several admissions to the EHA Board members that she abused EHA's credit card and made personal purchases that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was not entitled to. Specifically, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) used the EHA credit card for personal purchases that totaled approximately \$50,000. This investigation determined that from 2011 to 2019, EHA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) embezzled funds from two (2) EHA Operating Accounts and one (1) Elkton Development and Management Corporation (EDMC), non-profit account. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to using the EHA/EDMC credit/debit cards assigned to them to make numerous ATM withdrawals and debit/credit card purchases for the purpose of gambling and her personal use. From July 2019 to October 2019, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) embezzled funds from the EHA Operating Account to pay their home electric account. On October 26, 2021, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) entered a guilty plea in the Circuit Court for Cecil County, Elkton, MD to one count of Theft Scheme \$25,000 to under \$100,000, in violation of Maryland Criminal Code: CR.7.104:CJIS 1-1139. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to 5 years of probation and ordered to pay restitution to the EHA in the amount of \$75,000.</p> | The allegations were substantiated and the subject plea guilty, sentenced to 5 years of probation and ordered to pay restitution to the Elkton Housing Authority in the amount of \$75,000. Based on this disposition no further investigation is warranted. Consistent with that determination, no further investigative activity are contemplated in this matter. | HUD OIG received an allegation from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD, Baltimore field office regarding stolen funds at the Elkton Housing Authority (EHA). More specifically, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) EHA made several admissions to the Board member at EHA that she abused EHA's credit card and made personal purchases that she was not entitled to. |
| | 1/6/2022 | | As part of a proactive measure under another investigation the RA received allegations regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Lakewood Rental Assistance Program (LTRAP). Specifically that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who had a prior conviction on tax charges related to his tenure as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the Lakewood Housing Authority, was embezzling funds for his own personal use. The RA contacted PIH Newark and was made aware of ongoing problems relating to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) refusing HUD program personnel access to accounts and documentation related to the administrative funds authorized under the HCV program. As part of a proactive case development effort the RA contacted IRS CI and FBI Red Bank RA asking if they would like to participate in a HUD OIG investigation into (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and allegations of misappropriation of HUD HVC funds. | Allegation unsubstantiated. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|--|-------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| | 1/6/2022 | | HUD OIG received a hotline complaint from (b)(6) a HUD CPD employee, alleging possible fraudulent activity within HUD programs. | Allegation unsubstantiated. | |
| | 1/6/2022 | | Attorneys representing the Atlantic City Housing Authority (ACHA) advised they had obtained credible information from an employee that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had issued at least seven Section 8 vouchers to family members and friends when the waiting list was closed and/or under fraudulent pretenses. (b)(6) has now been suspended from her duties with pay. In addition (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been told to not to report to work by the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs, due to his erratic behavior and threats he made towards ACHA employees. Further information provided by the attorneys revealed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had been given no bid contracts to do work at the ACHA. | Allegation unsubstantiated. | |
| | 1/7/2022 | | In July, 2012, SA (b)(6) received a call from ADA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the Westchester District Attorney's Office regarding a possible HECM loan fraud. The Clerk at the Westchester DA's Office uncovered a fraud (b)(7)(E) was filing approximately 23 false satisfactions of mortgages. (b)(6) stated that for at least one of the 23 addresses, a HECM loan was taken out after the false satisfaction of mortgage was filed. | Successful Prosecution. | |
| | 1/10/2022 | | Complaint alleging tenant/landlord fraud at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Gonzales, LA. 70737. | Investigation complete. | |
| | 1/10/2022 | | The GPHA conducted an audit of (b)(6) HCVP's historical records after she was identified in a discrepancy report for unreported income. The GPHA discovered that (b)(6) did not report her employment and income with the U.S. Postal Service in applications for assistance going back to 2016. It also appears that (b)(6) participated in the Food Stamp and Medicaid programs and did not report her income to those respective programs either. Total possible loss/ overpayment of \$30,323.00 for the GPHA and \$8,500 (in Food Stamps and Medicaid overpayment since the only income she ever reported to TXHSC was her son's SSI and child support). | Subject has been charged, convicted, and sentenced. No additional action necessary. | |
| | 1/11/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | This case was initiated pursuant to an investigative lead provided by Riverside County District Attorney's Office Investigator, that alleged (b)(6) along with the assistance of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) may have submitted fraudulent documents to obtain a Federal Housing Administration mortgage. | Prosecution, judicial process, and DEC referral complete. No further investigation necessary. Case closed. | |
| | 1/12/2022 | | This case was predicated upon a request for assistance from the Social Security Administration (SSA) Office of Inspector General (OIG) which alleged (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) defrauded the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program, administered by SSA, of \$89,312.87 during the period of September 2007 through April 2018. SSA OIG reported (b)(6) continued to receive and use for her own benefit, SSI disability benefits intended for her deceased Grandmother, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who died on August 22, 2007. Further, it is alleged (b)(6) was a tenant of the Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) residing at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Cleveland, Ohio and failed to disclose that her CMHA landlord, (b)(6) was residing in the subsidized unit with her; violations of the HUD/CMHA rules and regulations. The initial review of HUD records identified (b)(6) was a HUD recipient of the CMHA Housing Choice Voucher Program from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) through (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) CMHA records identified (b)(6) as (b)(6) landlord since on or about January of 2006. | Successful Prosecution | |
| | 1/12/2022 | | A referral from a housing authority alleged a former Housing Choice Voucher Program tenant failed to report accurate household income on annual recertification documents and received Housing Assistance Payments she was not entitled to. | Declined for prosecution. | |
| | 1/19/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Corrigan Housing Authority (CHA), contacted the OIG Hotline on (b)(6) and alleged CHA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) committed several wrongdoings, including tampering with a government document, misuse of CHA funds, employing a sex offender, not following proper procurement procedures, and allowing ineligible tenants and friends to get on subsidized housing (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which brought up a conflict of interest concern. | Declined...close case. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| | 1/20/2022 | | Hotline complaint that Easton Housing Authority is allegedly paying tenants, as credits with HAP Funds. Because of this, all IRS F 1099 documents are incorrect causing a very large tax debt to the IRS. They are also not accepting waiting list applications from everyone that is eligible to apply. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** The OIG received a compliant via the HUD OIG Hotline making multiple allegations regarding a HUD Public Housing Program alleging illegal HAP payments made to tenants in addition to violations regarding HCVP and PH waiting list applicants. The OIG Investigation determined that there was no merit to the allegations and the case was declined for prosecution by the United States Attorney's Office. The case is being close with no further action. | Allegations unsubstantiated/case declined by USAO. Case is being administratively closed. | Hotline complaint that Easton Housing Authority is allegedly paying tenants, as credits with HAP Funds. Because of this, all IRS F 1099 documents are incorrect causing a very large tax debt to the IRS. They are also not accepting waiting list applications from everyone that is eligible to apply. |
| | 1/20/2022 | | On March 10, 2017, HUD OIG received information from another OIG alleging a loan processor of a mortgage company had been forging the names of other loan processors on loan documents to conceal that she were placed on the exclusionary list. It was also alleged that the loan processor's boss, and Owner of the mortgage company, had knowledge of the loan processor's actions and allowed her to continue to perform verifications for loan applicant packets despite the processor having been placed on the exclusionary list. A review conducted by a federal oversight agency after the mortgage company was sold to a financial institution discovered that over 100 loans had been processed during the target time frame. These allegations were investigated as a joint agency effort. | On December 16, 2021 HUD OIG received a formal declination letter from the United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Missouri concerning this investigation and based on that declination, the case was recommended to be administratively closed. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 1/20/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | Received a referral from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD Office of Regional Counsel, Region 5. According to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD holds an assigned HECM on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) West Bloomfield, MI FHA # (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) A person by the name of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has apparently forcibly entered the property and is residing at the residence. Further, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has filed fraudulent ownership documentation with the Oakland County Register of Deeds office in an attempt to obtain ownership. The West Bloomfield Police Department and the Oakland County Sheriff is investigating. | Case declined for prosecution. | |
| | 1/27/2022 | | Indianapolis OIG received a request for assistance from SBA-OIG regarding an FHA loan obtained by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) purchased the home originally from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on Land Contract. In December 2013 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) refinanced the loan under FHA. Approximately 14 months after refinancing, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) defaulted on her payments and is currently delinquent. It is believed that false/fraudulent information was used in order for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to receive the FHA loan. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at Banc-Serv, a company located in Westfield, IN. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) | All Judicial action complete. | |
| | 1/27/2022 | | Referral from HUD REO alleging owner occupant violation. Property was purchased on 04/14/2020 with FHA 203(k) financing for \$57,780.00. The property is currently for sale for \$147,174.00, well within the 12 month occupancy period. | Declined for prosecution. Evidence did not support intent to defraud. | |
| | 1/28/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Winnsboro Police Department reported an embezzlement case involving the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Winnsboro Housing Authority, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The original complainant of the case was a former housing authority board member named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was contacted by the bank (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about some suspicious checks that had been identified. It was alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) wrote numerous checks to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) under fraudulent pretenses that totaled over \$100,000 over from June through August 2019. The funds were withdrawn from the housing authority account that was associated with First National Bank of Winnsboro. The checks were deposited into an account associated with City National Bank. The complainant went to the local district attorney's office and local police to report the alleged theft of funds by check. | Case was declined by US Attorney's Office and no billed by state grand jury. No further action needed. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| (b)(7)(E) | 1/28/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | It is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a real estate appraiser, and owner (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of El Paso, Texas has submitted fraudulent appraisal reports for approval. Specifically, (b)(6); completed a significant amount of appraisals compared to his peer group and appraisals were completed with significant appraisal assistance by non-licensed appraisers. | Case closed as subject has been charged and convicted both civilly and criminally. Per prosecutors instructions, evidence will be maintained for at least 60 days during the appeal period. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 2/1/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | | After further review, the allegations in this investigation were unsubstantiated and did not rise to the level for criminal prosecution. Specifically, the allegations were more regulatory violations than criminal in nature. A low-income program participant related to (b)(6) was enrolled in the Low-Income program before (b)(6) was hired at AFC-CDC or became the (b)(6) | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 2/2/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); reported the following to Hotline relating to the double dipping with HUD grants: The Berks Coalition to End Homelessness utilized multiple grant sources to pay for a singular activity within the past 2 years. Funds from a HUD PA-506 CoC Permanent Supportive Housing grant along with funding from HUD CDBG or HUD HOME granted by The City of Reading or The County of Berks Redevelopment Authority were double billed for a single project. The double billed project funds were utilized to pay off a loan *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** A local non-profit, that received HUD Community Development Block Grant/Continuum of Care funding, paid \$121,655 to resolve False Claims Act allegations. This local non-profit organization illegally double-billed the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") for renovations performed on a property in the local area. The settlement resolves allegations that the non-profit knowingly submitted duplicate requests for payment for the framing, electrical, and plumbing renovations performed on a single project. In the settlement agreement, the United States Attorney's Office alleges that the non-profit knowingly submitted a request to draw approximately \$40,000 from HUD's Continuum of Care Program (COC) to be used towards renovation costs on the project. The same non-profit knowingly submitted to a local HUD funded HOME program a request for reimbursement for same renovation costs that were paid by the earlier draw request with HUD COC funds. | Investigation completed. No further activity is anticipated. Investigation is being closed. | (b)(6); reported the following to Hotline relating to the double dipping with HUD grants: The Berks Coalition to End Homelessness utilized multiple grant sources to pay for a singular activity within the past 2 years. Funds from a HUD PA-506 CoC Permanent Supportive Housing grant along with funding from HUD CDBG or HUD HOME granted by The City of Reading or The County of Berks Redevelopment Authority were double billed for a single project. The double billed project funds were utilized to pay off a loan |
| (b)(7)(E) | 2/7/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | A HUD-OIG audit report on the Irvington Housing Authority (IHA) found numerous instances of ineligible and unsupported costs related to training, travel, food, supplies, and other IHA expenditures. HUD's Real Estate Assessment Center had designated the IHA a troubled housing authority for the past 3 fiscal years. In addition, (b)(6); \$215,000.00 salary was approximately \$59,000 above the cap proposed by law. | Prosecution declined. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 2/11/2022 | | A referral from a law enforcement agency alleged that a company is bidding on projects across the country with lead-based paint certification. The work in question is being performed at a Housing Authority. According to the federal regulations at 40 CFR 745.233 Lead-based paint activities requirements.: "Lead-based paint activities, as defined in this part, shall only be conducted according to the procedures and work practice standards contained in §745.227 of this subpart. No individual or firm may offer to perform or perform any lead-based paint activity as defined in this part, unless certified to perform that activity according to the procedures in §745.226." HUD, OIG initiated an investigation to investigate this allegation. The investigation revealed the primary company was not certified to perform lead abatement work. The subcontractor placed a bid on the contract and won. As a result of the investigation, the U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Tennessee declined to prosecute the case. Subsequently, the case was referred to the Departmental Enforcement Center for an administrative action. | AUSA Declined to prosecute the case and it was referred to the DEC for administrative actions. | |
| | 2/11/2022 | | HUD-OIG Hotline complaint regarding possible allegations of improprieties concerning Washington State Housing finance Commission (WSHFC) specifically the complaint disclosed a potential conflicts of interest regarding two former mortgage default counselors took money from an attorney who was litigating a case for a homeowner that was receiving mortgage default counseling from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) | Due to no HUD loss and corrective actions taken by a subject HUD grantee, this case is administratively closed. | |
| | 2/17/2022 | | This investigation is being opened to proactively identify NJ residents that applied for and received assistance under the New Jersey Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation and Mitigation Program (RREM) as well as the Homeowner Resettlement Grant (RSP) for a property which is not their primary residence. | Successful Prosecution. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 2/24/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | Declined Prosecution. | |
| | 2/25/2022 | | The reporting Agent received a phone call and email from FSSA Investigator (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding a possible Section 8 Landlord and Tenant Fraud case. She advised the landlord (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is allegedly living with the tenant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that the Landlord (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is receiving SNAP assistance (Food Stamps) and does not report the rental income generated from the HAP payments he receives as a Section 8 landlord. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is the father of several of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) children and owns several properties in the State of Indiana. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has listed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Crawfordsville, IN as an alternate address in his SNAP (Food Stamps) File. The reporting Agent emailed Investigative Analyst (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and requested that she conduct a PICS/TRACS check to determine if the subject (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is receiving Section 8 Assistance and if subject (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a Section 8 landlord. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) confirmed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is receiving assistance from the Housing Authority of the City of Crawfordsville at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Crawfordsville, IN 47933 and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is her Section 8 Landlord. A search of the Montgomery County GIS system lists (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) as the owner of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the same address listed as the address of the owner of the property. It also indicated that the Homestead Deduction has been verified on that property. If (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is residing in the Section 8 assisted unit that he owns and rents to the mother of his children, while also receiving HAP payments for the same unit, SNAP benefits, and rental income from other properties, numerous violations of the HAP contract as well as Federal and State Criminal Statutes could be occurring. | Case declined for prosecution due to a determination losses to HUD are (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 2/25/2022 | | Allegations originally received by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Quality Assurance Division, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Bank (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that she had uncovered a short sale scheme lead by a local broker, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD-OIG conducted an investigated but were unable to find evidence of HUD/FHA losses and the case was subsequently closed. | All Judicial action completed with the exception of Referral for Debarment response from the DEC. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|--|
| | 2/25/2022 | | Complainant alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conspired to commit fraud against (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is elderly, approximately 86 years old, and lives at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in May 2012 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) convinced (b)(6) that her home was in foreclosure and that she needed to complete a reverse mortgage on the home. A Power of Attorney was subsequently made, establishing control of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) They did the 1st reverse mortgage in February 2013. (b)(6) has since done a second reverse mortgage in December 2017 and is currently attempting a 3rd reverse mortgage since the property value has risen again. | Subject was charged and arrested but before the case could be adjudicated, the victim passed away. Case was dismissed. No further action needed. | |
| | 2/25/2022 | | A complainant alleged that a Seattle area guardian was assisting elderly clients in obtaining reverse mortgages. One client obtained a reverse mortgage through Golden Years Reverse Mortgage on a residence that was not their permanent residence, due to health conditions, the client was residing in different care facilities. | Prosecution declined. Case administratively closed. | |
| | 2/27/2022 | | HUD OIG received a referral from program staff indicating a bank reported that a credit repair company allegedly altered FHA borrower's bank statements in connection with the bank's loan underwriting process. Seven FHA loans were potentially affected. | The case was referred to the USAO Eastern District of Missouri and declined for prosecution. No further investigation is warranted. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 2/28/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | Another OIG referred allegations that a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) was pumping sewage into a lake potentially with the knowledge of the village board. State Revolving Funds were used to update the wastewater treatment facility involved in the alleged activity. HUD OIG was notified because a CDBG grant in the amount of \$480,000 was awarded for the second phase of the WWTP. Investigation revealed the alleged illegal activity was unrelated to HUD funds. | A nexus connecting HUD funds to the subject's alleged misconduct could not be substantiated therefore this case is being administratively closed. | |
| | 3/1/2022 | | The terminated (b)(6) is alleged to have diverted unknown amount of money from the PHA to person use. The losses to the PHA are in the form cash withdrawals primarily. The PHA does not accept any cash payments from its clients. The Madill Police Department reported to HUD that they think over \$400,000 may have been taken from the period 2016 to present. | Subject has been charged, convicted, and sentenced. No additional action necessary. | |
| | 3/2/2022 | | A complaint was received from the estranged spouse of the partner of a conglomeration of companies alleging that fraud was occurring related to HUD and other federal government programs. The allegations included charging of personal expenses to property accounts, claiming tenants were residing in empty apartments (ghost tenants), and other fraudulent activities. An investigation was conducted by the HUD OIG, but no evidence was found to support the allegations. Therefore, the case was administratively closed. | Case Administratively Closed. | |
| | 3/3/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is alleged to be misappropriating HUD funds intended for (b)(6); a HUD multifamily property managed by (b)(6). Complainant alleged that (b)(6) is using funds intended for (b)(6); at its other non-HUD properties. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** HUD OIG initiated an investigation in February 2021 based on information from a Hotline complainant alleging a that a property management company diverted HUD funds from one of their HUD funded properties to their other non-HUD funded properties. Investigation was unable to substantiate the allegations. Results of this investigation were presented both the US Attorney's Office, who declined to pursue criminal prosecution. | Investigation complete. USAO declined. No further action is anticipated at this time. Case is being administratively closed. | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is alleged to be misappropriating HUD funds intended for (b)(6); a HUD multifamily property managed by (b)(6). Complainant alleged that (b)(6) is using funds intended for (b)(6); at its other non-HUD properties |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 3/7/2022 | | Information was received from the City of New Orleans, Inspector General (City IG) involving the suspected fraudulent inspection being conducted at section 8 property owned by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The information gathered by the City IG also uncovered the possibility of a City of New Orleans Inspector changing elevation numbers on residences. In changing the flood elevation numbers, after receiving federal funds to elevate the home the homeowner in turn would not have to use the federal funds obtained to elevate their home. | Case complete, notes maintained due to eligibility of appeals. | |
| | 3/8/2022 | | This office received a request for assistance and information from the FBI Minneapolis Field Office. The Bureau requested information related to possible fraud and housing assistance of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Minnesota and North Dakota. | Case declined by USAO. Statute of limitation problems. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |
| | 3/8/2022 | | The FBI contacted HUD-OIG for assistance in an ongoing investigation regarding (b)(6); Housing Authority of the City of Freeport (HACF) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and others are alleged to have used their positions within the HACF and HUD through improper credit card spending, fraudulent spending of HACF funds and other theft through questionable documentation. Prior to bring this investigation to HUD-OIG, the FBI had presented the case to the United States Attorney's Office and it was accepted for prosecution. | Case was declined by the USAO. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 3/8/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an allegation from HUD that a Office of Public Housing employee out of (b)(6); Field Office may have engaged in fraud against the Small Business Administration. (b)(6); the employee, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was affected by Hurricane Harvey and hired a contractor using SBA funds to make the repairs to his house. The contractor is alleging that he was not paid by (b)(6); and that (b)(6); used the SBA funds to pay for personal expenses. The allegation also contains information that (b)(6); uses cocaine and other drugs, that he has solicited kickbacks from his contractor and made promises of securing future contracts with HUD for the contractor. The contractor is very vocal, and has notified everyone from the FBI to the FTC. HUD is aware and is taking steps to protect the employee from the contractor. | Investigation complete. | |
| | 3/9/2022 | | Information received from the FBI reveals that Loss Mitigation Services, a company that helps negotiate short sales, is allegedly involved in a short scheme to defraud multiple banks. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been flagged by multiple banks for attempting to use fraudulent paperwork in order for the banks to approve the short sale. | Successful Prosecution | |
| | 3/9/2022 | | The complainant is reporting that staff at the Helena Housing Authority (HHA) have questioned purchases made by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when receipts are submitted for items that are not accounted for on public housing property or in the housing authority's inventory. The complainant states that this information was provided to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HHA and that HHA staff believes (b)(6); is assisting in covering up the alleged theft by directing HHA staff to notify (b)(6); if they believe a receipt submitted is questionable. | All judicial actions have been completed. Case has been referred to the DEC for administrative action. | |
| | 3/11/2022 | | Its is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) renovated units for her friends and provided them with units above those on the waitlist. | Declined for prosecution. | |
| | 3/11/2022 | | Complainant, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Boys and Girls Club (BGC) of Santa Fe, alleges that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) failed to properly use two HUD CPD grants (b)(6); secured around 2011, to purchase land in which to build a new BGC facility for southside Santa Fe children. (b)(6); believes instead of building a new BGC facility (b)(6); has instead leased the land to a Honda car dealership. The dealership is using the land to park their vehicles on and they pay rent to the BGC and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believes the funds from the rent are not going toward any BGC uses. (b)(6); believed that as much as 2 million dollars in BGC assets have been lost by (b)(6);. The grant amount is possibly valued around \$497,050. | Subject was adjudicated through a civil settlement and restitution payment, No additional action necessary. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 3/11/2022 | | QAD referral references self report from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) indicating that a Phoenix-based company (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) purchased an FHA-insured property and did not terminate the existing loan (leaving the note in the original mortgagor's name while it was rented to a third party). | No further action deemed warranted in this case. The closing ROI was approved on 11/22/2021, but the closure was inadvertently not closed until 3/11/2022. This case is now closed. | |
| | 3/14/2022 | | Glendale Community Housing Division (GCHD) Housing (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that GCHD had received documentation to include copies of Arizona Department of Child safety court documents and printouts of Facebook posts which showed that Section 8 tenant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had married (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had been living unreported in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Section 8 residence since that time. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) further advised that GCHD had also received information that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had been previously married (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had earned substantial employment income. Preliminary investigation by HUD-OIG has disclosed a shared home address for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) according to Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) records, in November 2013. Housing Manager (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has confirmed that the address is a former Section 8 residence for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) State wage record reviews conducted with DES pertaining to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has disclosed consistent employment income for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from the second quarter of 2015 to the fourth quarter of 2018. | The closing ROI for this investigation was completed/approved January 7, 2022; however, the actual closing of the case was inadvertently omitted until this date. No further action warranted, and this case is now closed. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 3/16/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is employed with Erie County allegedly falsified his income to qualify for a zero interest free improvement grant from the Housing Rehabilitation Loan Program. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) supposedly worked overtime during the months of April through October of 2016 without claiming overtime in the Erie County pay system. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) put his overtime into the system, back-dating it for the previous months, and received a lump-sum payment for overtime. During the application process for the loan in April 2016, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he would not receive overtime for the foreseeable future and his income would likely be less than the previous year. The loan program was only available to individuals with income under a certain threshold. The allegation is that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) manipulated income to appear under the threshold and received a zero-interest loan. | Settlement agreement reached with HUD OGC OPE. | |
| | 3/16/2022 | | The New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (DCJ) notified HUD OIG that it planned to move forward with the criminal investigation of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) applied for and received \$160,850 in Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation and Mitigation (RREM) program funds. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) allegedly falsified residency documents to obtain the funds he did not qualify for. On June 20, 2018, DCJ charged (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with Theft by Deception. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was indicted in New Jersey Superior Court. DCJ suspended further action indefinitely in March 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, HUD OIG closed its investigation. (b)(7)(E) *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** A referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice alleged that a disaster assistance grantee obtained \$160,848 in HUD CDBG-DR funds they did not qualify for. The grantee allegedly falsified residency documents on applications to the HUD funded State of New Jersey's Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation and Mitigation (RREM) program and to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The investigation determined the the grantee had in fact falsified residency documents and was found ineligible for the disaster assistance received. The grantee repaid \$160,848 to the State of New Jersey and \$27,032.90 to FEMA. | Investigation complete. All judicial actions recorded. Case is being closed, with no further action anticipated at this time. | The New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (DCJ) notified HUD OIG that it planned to move forward with the criminal investigation of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) applied for and received \$160,850 in Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation and Mitigation (RREM) program funds. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) allegedly falsified residency documents to obtain the funds he did not qualify for. On June 20, 2018, DCJ charged (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with Theft by Deception. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was indicted in New Jersey Superior Court. DCJ suspended further action indefinitely in March 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, HUD OIG closed its investigation. (b)(7)(E) |
| | 3/17/2022 | | On 10/06/2021 an explosive device was detonated at a HUD subsidized unit in Selma, AL. The investigation did not produced evidence that is actionable at this time. Therefore, the case will be administratively closed. | Case Administratively Closed | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| (b)(7)(E) | 3/18/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>The Quality Assurance Division (QAD) notified HUD OIG of a lender self-report from Wells Fargo. The report alleged that Wells Fargo customer (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) submitted a fraudulent identity theft report in an effort to alleviate her financial obligation to continue making mortgage payments. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** A referral from HUD's Quality Assurance Division alleged that an FHA borrower submitted a fraudulent identity theft report in an effort to remove multiple delinquent loans from their credit profile. The investigation determined that the FHA borrower had in fact defaulted on a previous FHA loan. The borrower obtained a new FHA loan by disputing the negative information in their credit profile, effectively moving the accounts into either disputed or charged off status. This resulted in the borrowers true credit history not being evaluated at the time of the second FHA loan origination. The USAO declined to pursue the investigation and the file was administratively closed with no further action warranted.</p> | <p>Investigation complete. USAO declined. No further action is anticipated. Case is being administratively closed.</p> | <p>The Quality Assurance Division (QAD) notified HUD OIG of a lender self-report from Wells Fargo. The report alleged that Wells Fargo customer (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) submitted a fraudulent identity theft report in an effort to alleviate her financial obligation to continue making mortgage payments.</p> |
| | 3/18/2022 | | <p>A complaint was received from a Housing Authority alleging a correctional officer was residing in a subsidized residence with his wife, a Housing Choice Voucher Program participant. The couple allegedly failed to report the husband was residing in the residence and failed to report his income which would have put the family over the income limit for program participation.</p> | <p>The HCVF participant pleaded guilty to Healthcare Fraud and False Statements. The husband pleaded guilty to False Declarations Before a Grand Jury as a result of false statements he made to the Grand Jury in connection with the alleged fraud. No further criminal or administrative actions are warranted.</p> | |
| | 3/22/2022 | | <p>Community Planning and Development (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) informed that City of Corpus Christi employee (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) embezzled HUD HOME program funds.</p> | <p>Case complete.</p> | |
| | 3/23/2022 | | <p>HUD (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) suspect (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) of owning a company (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) paid \$181,000 by the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority. The allegation was originally received and vetted in October 2019, when HUD-OIG was alerted to a potential HUD DEC finding. HUD-OIG held off opening a complaint pending final DEC results and a possible audit. HUD-OIG is pursuing the matter pursuant to the issuance of the final DEC report.</p> | <p>Closure of caption case was inadvertently NOT closed within the mandated 30-day period after the final action. Case is now closed with no further action warranted.</p> | |
| | 3/25/2022 | | <p>Proactive research revealed that a Housing Authority executive may be engaged in unusual and unexplained monetary transactions involving logging and lumber companies. Investigation revealed no indication that such transactions were associated with the operations of the Housing Authority. Prosecution was declined.</p> | <p>Prosecution Declined</p> | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| | 3/28/2022 | | <p>HUD OIG received a Hotline complaint from a former employee of a non-profit alleging the non-profit's accounting department had been using HUD grants to pay for expenses not covered by the grants. The former employee also alleged the agency did not enforce rent reasonable procedures or a proper inspection process; that the coordinator in the housing department referred clients only to a property where her husband worked resulting in the employee and her husband each receiving kickbacks; and the agency's business practices as a whole were questionable including HR not responding to an allegation of sexual harassment and an allegation of sexual assault on a staff member properly.</p> | <p>The case was presented to and declined by the USAO, WDMO. A meeting was held with the Office of Audit, CPD and ESG team to discuss finding of the investigation. No further investigation is warranted therefore this case is being closed.</p> | |
| | 3/29/2022 | | <p>The HUD-OIG Indianapolis Field Office will identify and investigate instances of Multifamily fraud throughout the State of Indiana. The Indianapolis Field Office will work with Indiana Family and Social Services Administration (FSSA) to develop cases and work each case as warranted. Other possible leads for cases will come from Agent involvement in the Financial Crimes Review Team. Once a case are developed and investigated, the case will be presented to the AUSA or County Prosecutor's Office with jurisdiction over the given area in which the Fraud occurred. Once the case is charged and warrants are issued the Agents from the HUD-OIG Indianapolis Field Office and other Law Enforcement Agencies will attempt to serve the arrest warrants.</p> | <p>All Judicial action completed.</p> | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 3/30/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>FBI referred a complaint in which they received information that as of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Chinese officials are living at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Columbus, Ohio. The retired officials purportedly receive retirement benefits from the Chinese government, but claim not to have any source of income to the US government in order to receive assistance. (b)(7)(E) (b)(5); (b)(7)(E)</p> | <p>Prosecution Declined</p> | |
| | 4/1/2022 | | <p>Anonymous hotline allegation that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Bridgeport Neighborhood Trust, and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) City of Bridgeport, are romantically involved. The Bridgeport Neighborhood Trust and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) allegedly have received inside information on projects from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which has benefitted the organization in receiving millions of dollars in HOME funds over the last five years from the City of Bridgeport.</p> | <p>Administratively closed.</p> | |
| | 4/4/2022 | | <p>HUD-OIG was notified about a possible mortgage fraud involving the NSP program. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made an offer to purchased a property at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Las Vegas, NV 89129 for \$219,900. After the purchase closing, the Realtor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) expressed concerns about a number of items on the HUD settlement statement. Two items in particular \$143,917.89 for NPS Rehab performed on the home and \$6,300 for a donation to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) bringing the total sale price of the home to \$377,400. The realtor said the home was in good condition and she had concerns about the amount of NSP Rehab funds being claimed as work on the property and the fact that the NPS Rehab funds were being remitted to the mortgage lender for the property (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Furthermore, the realtor questioned why (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a CA non-profit was buying property with NPS funds in Nevada and if so why the property was being purchased with a mortgage when NPS homes are usually purchased with cash. HUD-OIG agreed to investigate the allegations.</p> | <p>All actions have been completed. Case closure was delayed due to (b)(7)(E) IT problematic issues. Case closed.</p> | |
| | 4/6/2022 | | <p>The complainant, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is reporting the subject (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for reverse mortgage fraud on behalf of his father, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a stroke victim and hired (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for 24 hour care. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) brought in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to help with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the complainant believes that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is married to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was supposed to take care of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) home and pay the bills using (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) money. Instead (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) used (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) information to apply for a reverse mortgage with Liberty Reverse Mortgage PHH. The original loan amount is \$700,000. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is unsure of the current loan holder. The loan origination date is 03/22/2018. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received over \$362,000 from the reverse mortgage. None of this was used for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) benefit or on his home. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) abused (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mentally, physical, and financially as well. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is addressing the other abuse as well.</p> | <p>Allegations could not be substantiated and is being administratively closed.</p> | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 4/7/2022 | | <p>HUD OIG received an anonymous hotline complaint alleging that the Baltimore Regional Housing Partnership (BRHP) misused federal funds secured from the SBA Paycheck Protection Program (PPP). According to the complaint, the PPP funds received were distributed under false pretenses (employee size), used for upgrades to existing equipment, logo/branding changes that included letterhead, office renovations, new business apparel (jackets, backpacks, etc.), administrative raises including an unapproved (board of directors) leave of absence by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The PPP funds were also never allocated to employees (inspectors) in the form of hazard pay who continued to work/interact with residents of the Housing Choice Voucher program in the community throughout the pandemic to date. -----REDACTED-----</p> <p>HUD OIG received an anonymous HUD OIG Hotline complaint alleging that in light of the COVID-19 Pandemic, the Baltimore Regional Housing Partnership (BRHP) falsified the Small Business Administration (SBA) Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loan application to qualify for the PPP loan funds and misused the funds that were intended to provide assistance to the operations of the Housing Authority during the pandemic. According to the anonymous complainant the PPP funds received were distributed under false pretenses (employee size), used for upgrades to existing equipment, logo/branding changes that included letterhead, office renovations, new business apparel (jackets, backpacks, etc.), administrative raises including an unapproved (board of directors) leave of absence by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The PPP funds were also never allocated to employees (inspectors) in the form of hazard pay who continued to work/interact with residents of the Housing Choice Voucher program in the community throughout the pandemic to date.</p> | <p>The investigation did not substantiate the allegations. This investigation determined that in April 2020, BRHP (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted a Paycheck Protection Program Borrower Application form on behalf of BRHP for the purpose of payroll. In May 2020, a PPP loan agreement and SBA Note was made between BRHP (borrower) and The (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)</p> | <p>On February 11, 2021, HUD OIG received an anonymous hotline complaint alleging that the Baltimore Regional Housing Partnership (BRHP) misused federal funds secured from the SBA Paycheck Protection Program (PPP). According to the complaint, the PPP funds received were distributed under false pretenses (employee size), used for upgrades to existing equipment, logo/branding changes that included letterhead, office renovations, new business apparel (jackets, backpacks, etc.), administrative raises including an unapproved (board of directors) leave of absence by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The PPP funds were also never allocated to employees (inspectors) in the form of hazard pay who continued to work/interact with residents of the Housing Choice Voucher program in the community throughout the pandemic to date.</p> |
| (b)(7)(E) | 4/7/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) an investigator in Oklahoma City, performed a preliminary review based on concerns expressed by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Based on his findings, an internal audit and this external investigation are being performed. | No further action is necessary for this investigation. All reasonable steps have been taken to summarize the allegations and the current disposition. | |
| | 4/8/2022 | | Housing and Urban Development Office of Inspector General (HUD-OIG) Office of Investigation received a complaint regarding Texoma Housing Partners (THP) formerly Texoma Housing Authority (THA) housing recipient (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a recipient of Section 8 housing assistance payments (HAP) formerly through THA. It has been reported that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Section 8 tenant is also a landlord. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a property she is renting to her ex-husband (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Bonham, TX. She receives Section 8 HAP under the alias (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) owns an additional two properties located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Bonham, TX and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Amasco, TX. Another disqualifier for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to receiving assistance is she has a recent felonious history for drug possession. | Subject died before prosecution, Case was declined by USAO. No further investigation needed | |
| | 4/12/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | Investigation complete. | |
| | 4/18/2022 | | The Cook County Inspector General's Office provided information related to a group of properties located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Chicago, IL 60615. The properties were occupied by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The properties went under foreclosure (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD obtained the properties on September 17th, 1990 and transferred the properties to Cook County under the Property Disposition Program, Cash Sale to State or Local Government. HUD executed a Contract of Sale that included restrictions on the use of the property. On October 23rd, 1996, the properties were conveyed into a trust held by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which violated the Contract of Sale restrictions. On December 26th, 1998, the properties' PIN numbers were eliminated and new PIN numbers were created. On January 19th, 2017, the properties were sold to Cook County by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the amount of \$850,000. | Allegations were unsubstantiated and the case was not referred for prosecution. Closing ROI completed and no further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 4/18/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | Offender allegedly used false information to obtain and FHA insured mortgage. She also stole funds from her prior employer. The theft is both identity and regular theft related. FHA loan is \$286,000 approx. The theft portion is in excess of \$250,000. | Case was declined by the USAO NDIL. Main victim died and there is no loss to the government. ROI was completed. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |
| | 4/19/2022 | | HUD-OIG received a call from A.C.E. investigator, Western District of Kentucky requesting assistance with a landlord sexual harassment investigation that they were investigating. Their investigation indicated that the complainant had filed a complaint with HUD. HUD-OIG provided assistance and was able to determine that FHEO had concluded an investigation into the allegations. HUD-OIG was able to determine that FHEO had completed their investigation and that both parties are in settlement discussions. | Administratively closing, due to the fact FHEO has concluded their investigation and both parties are in communication on settlement. | |
| | 4/21/2022 | | Complaint alleges that FHA insured loan (b)(6) originated by Loan Officer (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) (b)(6) Mortgage Capital contains false employment information. | No further action deemed necessary. Case closed. | |
| | 4/25/2022 | | HUD OIG received a referral from the local Housing Authority stating that during the 2020 annual reexamination a section 8 participant reported part time employment at Little River Assisted Living facility and seasonal employment with another employer. The Participant is the actual business owner of Little River Assisted Living. Florida Corporation records confirmed that the Little River Assisted Living Facility was incorporated during 2017. Florida Agency For Health Care (AHCA) confirmed that Little River Assisted Living Facility was licensed in 2017 and named the Participant as the owner/administrator. Internet searches provided that the facility has 14 beds and average monthly rate for residents are \$3100, It was also discovered that the Participant also listed five properties for rent in Miami-Dade on the web site GoSection8.com. Investigation revealed that the HCV recipient earned a significant amount of income in between her 2018, 2019 and 2020 annual reexaminations, but the tenant always terminated her employment before her annual reexaminations. According to the BCHA policy interim reexamination policy, the HCV tenant was not required to report any income until the following annual reexamination because she did not sign a "Zero Income" certification during her previous reexaminations. The tenant disclosed a minimum source of income at the of her reexamination to potentially avoid the "Zero Income" certification requirement. Further investigation revealed that the tenant owned a business, which she did not have to disclose according the BCHA. The findings of this investigation were referred to the U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of Florida (USAO) for prosecutorial consideration and it was concluded that there was not sufficient criminal evidence to merit a criminal prosecution by the USAO. Therefore, the case was declined. Further, the case will be referred to HUD for administrative consideration. Based on the above information, no further investigation is warranted, and this case is closed. | Issue identified with the HA policy on updating income, referred to HUD for consideration. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| (b)(7)(E) | 4/27/2022 | | <p>This complaint is being opened as a spinoff from case number (b)(7)(E). The Bucks County Housing Authority (BCHA) received an anonymous telephone complaint alleging (b)(6) was incarcerated in PA State Prison. The anonymous complainant alleged (b)(6) and her boyfriend arranged for a female impostor to attend (b)(6) BCHA Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) recertification appointment in 2018. This allowed (b)(6) boyfriend to reside in (b)(6) HCV unit with (b)(6) two young daughters, while (b)(6) was incarcerated. Investigative activity disclosed (b)(6) has been incarcerated since approximately (b)(6). Additionally, investigative activity disclosed the boyfriend residing in the unit is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) who is a convicted felon. This investigation will be run jointly with the Bucks County District Attorney's Detectives Office. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** This investigation was initiated based on a housing authority receiving an anonymous complaint alleging one of their Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) tenants was incarcerated in state prison and allowing individuals to reside in her unit. This tenant while in prison was allowing her boyfriend to reside in the HCV unit with the incarcerated tenant's two young daughters. The complainant alleged the incarcerated tenant and boyfriend arranged for a female to impersonate the tenant at her HCV yearly recertification. Investigative activity was able to obtain evidence proving a female impersonator was used for two yearly recertification meetings. Additional investigative activity disclosed the boyfriend unauthorized tenant was a convicted felon. Based on the evidence developed during this investigation three individuals were convicted on Pennsylvania State felony charges of Theft by Deception. The incarcerated tenant received a sentence of 23 months incarceration, followed by 5 years supervised release and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$25,518. The female impostor was sentenced to 5 years probation and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$25,518. The unauthorized tenant boyfriend was sentenced to 23 months incarceration, followed by 5 years probation and ordered to pay \$25,518.</p> | Investigation complete. All judicial actions are complete. Case is being closed. | This complaint is being opened as a spinoff from case number (b)(7)(E). The Bucks County Housing Authority (BCHA) received an anonymous telephone complaint alleging (b)(6) was incarcerated in PA State Prison. The anonymous complainant alleged (b)(6) and her boyfriend arranged for a female impostor to attend (b)(6) BCHA Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) recertification appointment in 2018. This allowed (b)(6) boyfriend to reside in (b)(6) HCV unit with (b)(6) two young daughters, while (b)(6) was incarcerated. Investigative activity disclosed (b)(6) has been incarcerated since approximately (b)(6). Additionally, investigative activity disclosed the boyfriend residing in the unit is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) who is a convicted felon. This investigation will be run jointly with the Bucks County District Attorney's Detectives Office. |
| (b)(7)(E) | 4/27/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>An audit conducted by (b)(6) of the City of Lansing, MI Human Relations and Community Services Department (HRCS) revealed potential misconduct by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). It is alleged that (b)(6) had substantial conflicts of interest that include her also holding a position at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and other local not for profit entities. Further, it is alleged that (b)(6) appointed family member to head other not for profits that directly receive funds from HRCS. Potential loss is approximately \$1.6M.</p> | Subject of investigation passed away and the City of Lansing repaid HUD in accordance with HUD CPD's request. Prosecutor declined. | |
| | 5/3/2022 | | <p>OIG will proactively investigate HAGC landlords compliance with HUD regulation and federal laws. *****Redacted Narrative***** The OIG initiated an investigation after receiving information a Housing Authority (HA) alleging that a Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) landlord used a property management company to improperly participate in the HCVP. The property management company was created to circumvent prior participation denials issued by the HA. Although the allegations were substantiated, the U.S. Attorney's Office and the HUD Departmental Enforcement Center declined to intervene civilly.</p> | USAO & DEC declined to intervene. Case is being administratively closed. No further investigation is anticipated at this time. | OIG will proactively investigate HAGC landlords compliance with HUD regulation and federal laws. |
| | 5/5/2022 | | <p>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) from the Gary Housing Authority (GHA) called SA (b)(6) stated that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) may have stolen the GHA credit card. The GHA noticed some unauthorized charges originating (b)(6). When the GHA traced the expenditures, it was discovered the charges originated on a (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). (b)(6) had arrested (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on credit card fraud. During her interview (b)(6) allegedly named (b)(6) as an accomplice.</p> | AUSA (b)(6) (b)(5); (b)(7)(E) office. No further investigative action is warranted at this time. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 5/11/2022 | | HUD OIG opened complaint based on proactive review of Power BI, FinCEN and Guidstar. Identified high risk grantee in Power BI. Case opened to determine if HUD funds were stolen by grantee. | Administratively closed due to no misappropriations of HUD funds discovered. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 5/12/2022 | | This office is in receipt of allegations from the Marion County Sheriff's office that a property located in Centralia, IL, which was a HECM property, has allegedly been occupied by an individual or individuals that do not own or rent the property. Specifically, It is alleged that (b)(6) had fraudulently created Quit Claim Deeds to deed the property at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to himself. (b)(6) (b)(6) was previously owned by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) held a HECM mortgage on the property loan # (b)(6). The (b)(6) passed away in approximately 2011. According to Detective (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) aka (b)(6) has been residing in the property for several years. | This case was dismissed by the court. See ROI for more details. No further investigative action is warranted at this time. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 5/16/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | The complainant received a letter from an anonymous person reporting Section 8 fraud at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a project based apartment complex located in Prince William County, VA. The letter stated that the property manager and landlord are stealing money from tenants. The letter stated that they are ordering appliances using HUD money and selling them to make personal profit. The management is charging tenants under the table for rent and increasing rent on their own. The complainant would like to get into touch with a HUD investigator to forward the letter to due to this not being the complainant's jurisdiction. The complainant received the letter on 2/9/2022. | This matter inadvertently converted into an investigation over the weekend. The complainant is a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Department of Social Services employee who received an anonymous letter via mail that was forwarded to the agent. The letter (b)(5); (b)(7)(E) the matter cannot be substantiated and this matter is | |
| | 5/16/2022 | | Information was received indicating that HUD is overpaying numerous mortgages on single-family REO claims. These overpayments are due to the mortgagees requesting reimbursements from HUD for expenses that are ineligible, based on the CFR, after the timely conveyance period has passed. | Close | |
| | 5/17/2022 | | On March 12, 2020, the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided information to the OIG alleging that HUD Homeowner Assistance Program (HAP) grant recipient, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) may have provided false information in her HAP application for disaster assistance concerning her property located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Navasota, TX 77868. Specifically, (b)(6) alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) misrepresented her total financial assistance during her application. Per (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) already received a new home constructed by her church at the time she applied for HAP assistance. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has expended a total of \$254,798.78 on renovation and reconstruction of the subject property. | Case declined | |
| | 5/18/2022 | | HUD OIG received a referral from HUD OIG Hotline alleging that an (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and various members of his staff at a local public housing authority (PHA) may have engaged in improper procurement practices and misappropriations of PHA funds for personal purchases and travels. | Investigation was unable to substantiate allegations or identify criminal findings. Case administratively closed. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 5/18/2022 | | HUD (b)(6) reported that he had received allegations of fraud and theft from (b)(6) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The Institute for Human Services, Inc. (IHS), a HOME and ESG program sub-recipient through the City of Honolulu. (b)(6) advised that an IHS employee and a landlord may have made up fake tenants to receive at least \$35,800 from IHS's Clean and Sober Transitions HOME funded program and IHS's Homeless Prevention Rapid Rehousing ESG funded program. (b)(6) further advised that the IHS employee had been fired, that IHS had filed a police report and that IHS was in the process of hiring a forensic auditor to review the theft. | All judicial and administrative actions have been completed. Case closed. | |
| | 5/18/2022 | | HUD OIG received a complaint regarding alleged improprieties by Section 8 tenants residing at the Harbor Village Apartments. Three tenants at Harbor Village Apartments allegedly have significant unreported income in their annual recertification's. | One subject was successfully prosecuted and sentenced. Additional subjects not pursued (b)(7)(E) referred for removal from program. Case closed. | |
| | 5/23/2022 | | HUD-OIG initiated a proactive investigation relating to a Loan Officer in the Miami area | Administratively closed. Proactive case that did not uncover fraud relating to HUD. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 5/23/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Bellevue, WA, at the McKee Condominium Complex. They receive monthly payments from the King County Housing Authority to lease the condominiums out for low income housing. It does not appear that the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have any tenants living in the condominiums. This has occurred for several years. | Allegations were unsubstantiated. Case administratively closed. | |
| | 6/6/2022 | | It is alleged that a former HUD employee has refused to return HUD issued equipment after his termination on October 6, 2021. During the course of the investigation, the subject returned the HUD issued computer. An analysis of the computer did not reveal evidence to support administrative or criminal charges. Prosecution was declined, therefore, further investigation is not warranted. | Prosecution declined. | |
| | 6/14/2022 | | Hotline received a written complaint from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is representing two clients, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claiming (b)(6) grossly mismanaged a federal contract/grant, abused authority, and violated laws, rules, and regulations relating to a federal contract. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was awarded the Master Program Manager for Disaster Related Projects contract for the City of Houston Housing and Community Development Department. | No Action Taken/Case declined | |
| | 6/14/2022 | | The Kentucky Attorney General's Office reported that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Magoffin County Housing Authority submitted duplicate billing of work between the Magoffin Housing authority and the City of Salyersville, KY. | No Action Taken - Case declined for prosecution and referred to DEC for administrative action. | |
| | 6/14/2022 | | HUD-OIG is working with the United States Attorney's Office Civil Division to identify landlords in Nevada that are potentially overcharging Section 8 tenants. The subject entity was identified as a landlord that is allegedly collecting side payments from several Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA) Section 8 tenants. The USAO Civil Division was briefed on the matter and has asked HUD-OIG to follow up. | Case declined for prosecution. Case is closed. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| | 6/15/2022 | | Owner was making the clients in the household have oral sex with her in the basement of recovery homes. Sexual harassment, taking advantage of recovering drug addicts while living in the homes. | The investigation did not substantiate the allegations. This investigation determined that the hotline complaint was made falsely and was without merit. Further, the investigation determined that there was not HUD nexus to the subject and the subject business, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) | |
| | 6/15/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stole funds from a disabled client's trust account. A portion of the stolen funds may have been used to pay (b)(6) FHA insured mortgage. | Investigation did not identify fraud or loss to FHA single family program. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 6/16/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | HUD OIG received allegations from a complainant that alleges during a recent attempt to refinance her loan, the complainant identified a 3rd mortgage attached to her mortgage loan. The investigation was able to substantiate the allegation. However, the complainant said the issue was resolved about a week or two weeks after the issue was reported. | This investigation determined that the allegations against the subject business were resolved by the complainant subsequent to her report to the HUD OIG Hotline. Based on the above information, no further investigation is warranted, and this case is closed. | |
| | 6/16/2022 | | HUD OIG is in receipt of a complaint alleging (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) out of the Sterling/Rock Falls area falsified borrower bank account statements in order to qualify them for mortgage loans. The complaint originated from Mortgage Center's HQ who contacted FHFA OIG via a hotline complaint. | Case declined for prosecution. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |
| | 6/16/2022 | | HUD-OIG received a complaint from the New Orleans Metropolitan Crime Commission (MCC) alleging that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) may be defrauding the Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP). Allegedly (b)(6) is disguising her ownership of ten rental properties in order to receive HCVP payments for tenants that are relatives. | No Action Taken. Allegation could not be corroborated, case declined. | |
| | 6/21/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) forwarded information from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the Texas City Housing Authority. According to the complaint, two public housing tenants, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have received FEMA disaster assistance for claims they filed in relation to Hurricane Harvey despite not having damage to their units. | Case dismissed by ADA. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| | 6/21/2022 | | Proactive research revealed subject specializes in the management of properties subsidized by the U.S. Government and is alleged to have misappropriated subsidized rent payments from housing authorities from approximately August 2019 to September 2020. Investigation revealed subject manages numerous properties subsidized by HUD through the HCVP and was the subject of multiple complaints to the State Real Estate Commission regarding the misappropriation of funds. Investigation was unable to determine if any HUD funds were misappropriated. Prosecution was declined. | Matter declined | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 6/22/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | HUD OIG received a Hotline complaint alleging that Real Estate Speculator (b)(6) conspired with FHA approved inspectors and appraisers in order to qualify borrowers for homes that were in deployable conditions. Redacted HUD OIG received a Hotline complaint alleging that Real Estate Speculator conspired with FHA approved inspectors and appraisers in order to qualify borrowers for homes that were in deployable conditions. | This investigation did not substantiate the allegations. This investigation determined that (b)(6) nor any other FHA approved real estate professionals participated in a scheme to defraud the FHA program. Further, the investigation did not substantiate the allegations that (b)(6) nor any other real estate professional deceived the borrower into purchasing a home in deployable conditions. | HUD OIG received allegations that Real Estate Speculator, (b)(6) conspired with FHA approved inspectors and appraisers in order to qualify borrowers for homes that were in deployable conditions. |
| | 6/23/2022 | | Email complaint submitted to HUD OIG Hotline and other federal agency: Complainant alleges the subjects may be defrauding the Section 8 (Housing Choice Voucher Program) by falsifying documents to appear, or may be, Afghan refugees. The allegations seem to allege that the subjects are living in the Northern Virginia area but no addresses are provided, except for the complainant's address. | The investigation did not substantiate the allegations. This investigation determined that neither subject was concealing income from sources they are required to report for participation in HCVP programs. On June 8, 2022, ICE HSI dispelled the allegation that the subjects are residing in the United States illegally and would thereby be ineligible to participate in the HCVP program. | |
| | 6/24/2022 | | Pro-active investigation reviewing LO for possible creation of fraudulent documents in loan file. | Administratively closed due to lack of evidence, the FHA files identified as fraud will be transferred to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) case. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 6/27/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | Case Adjudicated - Civil Settlement with Subject Entity | |
| | 6/29/2022 | | Information was received that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) the City of Cleveland's demolition department, has been awarding NSP funded contracts to (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C). Allegation were also received about (b)(6) awarding emergency board up jobs to (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C). | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 6/29/2022 | | It is alleged (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) has been using CDBG funds to pay a local CDC employee for Ward Services over the course of ten plus years with little or no documentation to support his reimbursements. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 6/29/2022 | | Received Hotline Complaint from known source alleging the management at Sims Senior Housing Apartments was failing to remove deceased tenants from their rent rolls in an attempt to collect additional subsidy and bolster the rent rolls toward a potential refinance. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 6/30/2022 | | (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) contacted our office regarding allegations involving one of their program participants. (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) has been on the Section 8 Voucher program for over 5 years. During (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) annual review, it was determined her landlord was actually her sister. As a result, the Housing Authority has determined (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) received over \$40,000 in Housing Assistance in which she was not entitled too. Consequently, an investigation is warranted. | Case was declined. No further action is warranted. Close Investigation. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 6/30/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | Civil case reached a settlement. No further action is warranted. Close Investigation. | |
| | 7/8/2022 | | Registered sex offender (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) was tracked to the HUD subsidized unit of (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) currently receiving rental housing assistance with the Housing Authority City of El Paso. Mandatory Prohibition for Lifetime Sex Offenders- HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 5.856, § 960.204(a)(4), and § 982.553(a)(2) prohibit admission after June 25, 2001, if any member of a household is subject to a State lifetime sex offender registration requirement. This regulation reflects a statutory prohibition. A household receiving assistance with such a member is receiving assistance in violation of federal law. | Investigation complete. | |
| | 7/11/2022 | | The NYS AG's Office requested assistance from HUD OIG regarding a nursing home facility with a FHA 232 mortgage owned by (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) alleging that a possible equity skimming scheme is ongoing. | Lack of evidence/information - Administratively closed. | |
| | 7/12/2022 | | HUD OIG obtained information from the Newark Housing Authority that tenants (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) Newark, NJ, had committed fraud by living at a single family residence they had purchased in Plainfield, NJ, in 2013, while subletting their assisted unit to a family member during this time. | Prosecution declined. | |
| | 7/13/2022 | | On February 7, 2016, Special Agent (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) contacted SA (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) and informed that the McAllen FBI office had received an anonymous complaint informing that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) awarded a city improvements contract for a baseball park to his friend (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C). Specifically, the complainant informed that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) had sole sourced the contract by avoiding the procurement process. (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) was also a person of interest in the (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) case. (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) of the Hidalgo County Urban Program, confirmed that the City (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) had received CDBG funding for construction of a park in the amount of \$349,065.68 which was awarded to G & G Contractors. | Case Adjudicated | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/14/2022 | | A referral was received from HUD Program staff alleging that several questionable financial transactions had been made by a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at a Housing Authority. An audit identified approximately \$20,000 in questionable expenditures, including unauthorized vacation payouts, payments to relatives, and possible personal debt payments from HA accounts. The (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested on charges related to the online transfer of funds from the HA bank account to her personal bank account. A trial date was set and the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) failed to appear at a pre-trial hearing. A bench warrant was issued for her arrest and she currently remains Wanted. The case will be administratively closed and will be reopened upon the subject's arrest. | Case administratively closed due to subject being a fugitive. Case will be reopened once subject is arrested. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/15/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | HUD OIG received information from EPA CID regarding Home Lead Free Inspections, LLC in Baltimore, Maryland may have provided fraudulent lead free certifications for properties they performed lead abatement. EPA OIG provided HUD OIG with a list 15 properties that failed lead re-inspections. An initial query revealed that 4 of the 15 properties received housing assistance from the Baltimore County Housing Authority. REDACTED ----- HUD OIG received information from EPA-CID alleging that a Maryland lead paint inspection contractor, provided fraudulent lead-free certifications at the conclusion of their lead inspection on properties in and around the Baltimore, Maryland area. EPA-CID determined that multiple properties failed a lead-based reinspection conducted by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE). HUD OIG determined that various properties that failed the lead-based re-inspection received housing assistance subsidies. The investigation substantiated the allegations. The subject plead guilty to one count of Toxic Substances Control Act in violation of 15 U.S.C 2615(b), 2689, and was sentenced in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, to five (5) years' probation, ordered to pay a \$50,000 fine, and restitution in the amount of \$80.00. | Case Adjudicated. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Home Free Lead Inspections (HFLI), LLC, appeared by video conference in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, and was sentenced to five (5) years' probation. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$80.00 to a victim, and a \$50,000.00 fine. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) previously plead guilty to one count of the | HUD OIG received information from EPA CID regarding Home Lead Free Inspections, LLC in Baltimore, Maryland may have provided fraudulent lead free certifications for properties they performed lead abatement. EPA OIG provided HUD OIG with a list 15 properties that failed lead re-inspections. An initial query revealed that 4 of the 15 properties received housing assistance from the Baltimore County Housing Authority. |
| | 7/15/2022 | | Referral from HUD QAD Audit alleging possible borrower asset fraud. A post-close review of the file alleges that the borrower altered the credit union statement for qualifying. The property located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) St. Joseph, Michigan, was purchased using FHA 203B financing in January 2021 for \$190,935. | Lack of Evidence does not appear criminal or civil offense occurred. | |
| | 7/19/2022 | | A complaint was forwarded to OIG by the Hotline in which a complainant states (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the Evansville Housing Authority, is double dipping with HUD funds and profiting off of them and committing housing subsidy fraud. Additional issues identified in the complaint include abuse of authority, conflict of interest, employee misconduct through breaking privacy laws and HUD by-laws, ethics violations, serious mismanagement and several issues within the Rental Assistance Demonstration Program (RAD). | Case declined for prosecution. | |
| | 7/20/2022 | | This investigation is a spin off of (b)(7)(E) investigation. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(D) has provided further information on transactions that involved properties located in Chicago at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) In addition to reviewing the loan origination and title documentation for the above mentioned properties, HUD-OIG is also assisting the FBI by (b)(7)(E) relation to the ongoing assistance provided by the cooperating subject of (b)(7)(E) investigation. | Case Adjudicated. Still Awaiting PTD for subject (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) but will close case. This subject's final disposition has gone on for years. Will record final PTD when it's imposed. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |
| | 7/20/2022 | | The Social Security Administration OIG, Health & Human Services OIG, and the U.S. Department of State have an ongoing investigation of an individual who illegally obtained a social security number in order to prove his U.S. citizenship. Through the course of their investigation, it was believed that the individual is receiving a housing subsidy at which time HUD/OIG's assistance was requested. | This investigation was completed and all judicial actions have concluded. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 7/21/2022 | | <p>On January 2, 2020, HUD's Real Estate Owned Division, Philadelphia, PA referred an owner-occupancy violation to HUD OIG. OIG investigation revealed that a real estate broker conspired with his sister to purchase, flip, and re-sell a REO home. Further, the broker's former and current real estate agents also conspired together to purchase, flip, and re-sell two REO homes. The purchasers bought the REO homes and certified that they would reside in the homes as their primary residence for at least one year. However, each re-sold the home within one year, and they did not reside in the home as their primary residence. On September 21, 2021, a federal grand jury returned a true bill indictment against the three REO buyers and the broker. The indictment charged each defendant with violating one count of Title 18 U.S. Code § 371, Conspiracy to Defraud the United States, and Title 18 U.S. Code § 1001, False Statements. In March and April 2021, the buyers and broker agreed to Pre-Trial Diversion wherein they accepted responsibility for the conduct alleged in the indictment. HUD did not suffer a monetary loss in this matter.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 7/21/2022 | | <p>Information was provided to HUD-OIG from (b)(7)(F) from the Chicago Housing Authority (CHA) CHA-OIG relating to (b)(7)(F) and his company, (b)(7)(F)-(b)(7)(C). It is alleged that (b)(7)(F) is not paying workers Davis Bacon wages and is not submitting and submitting false certified payrolls. It is also alleged that (b)(7)(F) is participating in bid rigging and the PPM (b)(7)(F) workers are splitting up projects to allow (b)(7)(F) to receive the project without the bidding process or additional approvals. It is alleged (b)(7)(F) is providing kickbacks for the contracts awarded.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 7/21/2022 | | <p>This office is in receipt of allegations that (b)(7)(F) may be concealing assets from the United States Trustee's Office in relation to his Bankruptcy. Specifically, this office is in receipt of allegations from the United States Postal Inspection Service that (b)(7)(F) is the owner of numerous investment properties in the Chicagoland area and receives HAPP as a landlord for these properties. It is alleged that (b)(7)(F) currently has ongoing bankruptcy proceedings and has attempted to conceal the assets related to HAPP payments received from several housing authorities. It has also been alleged that (b)(7)(F) may have been involved in fraudulent activity related to his mother's HAPP. It is alleged (b)(7)(F) may have acted as the landlord for his mother's residence using one of his many business names.</p> | Prosecution declined on (b)(7)(F) (b)(7)(F) | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/21/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>HHS OIG contacted HUD OIG for assistance on a case they currently have open with the U.S. Attorney's Office. HHS OIG alleged that a public housing tenant by the name of (b)(7)(F)-(b)(7)(C) committed tenant fraud. Specifically (b)(7)(F) has income that has not been reported. This income is derived from the HHS personal assistant program. Additionally (b)(7)(F) mother (b)(7)(F)-(b)(7)(C) allegedly lives in her unit, and is not on the lease. (b)(7)(F) mother has SSI income.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | <p>This office was contacted by the South Resident Agency of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and subsequently the U. S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois, requesting HUD OIG's presence and involvement in an ongoing investigation, regarding fraudulent sales for real estate in the Chicago metro area. Specifically, the FBI had allegations that (b)(7)(E)</p> <p>(b)(7)(E)</p> <p>(b)(7)(F) Further review by HUD OIG revealed that First Financial Mortgage had originated several hundred FHA loans in the last five years. Moreover, HUD's Quality Assurance Division had conducted a review of their operations and had cited them for among other things, using an identity of interest title company, whose owners also controlled the mortgage company. Finally, during our review of FBI files in this case, our office discovered that several of the names involved in the alleged fraud have been previous subjects of this office. (b)(7)(E)</p> <p>(b)(7)(E)</p> | Case Adjudicated. All judicial actions complete. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | <p>The FBI has received information from a complainant that alleges that land lord (b)(7)(F)-(b)(7)(C) of Springfield, IL has submitted false documents and false information to the Illinois Housing Development Authority (IHDA) in order to obtain emergency rental assistance funds related to COVID-19 CARES Act funding. Additionally it has been alleged that (b)(7)(F)-(b)(7)(C) submits falsified insurance certifications in order for his demolition company to obtain and be eligible for demolition contracts.</p> | Lack of HUD nexus/loss. No further action is warranted. Close investigation. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/22/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | It is alleged that section 8 landlord (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received over \$262,000 in section 8 tenant subsidy for 28 units, for units that this company did not own at the time. Further, the allegations add that these properties were conveyed to various entities 3 or 4 times and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) still collected HAPs. Several of these deeds were not recorded at the recorder's office and the ones that were recorded were created by Guardian Law, LLC. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Further, the same entities and LLCs have acquired these properties from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) No further action is warranted. Close Investigation. | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | Tristate News article reported the Memorial Community Development Corporation (MCDC) used more than \$222,000 in federal funds to build a home intended to help low income families. It is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) MCDC, moved (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) into the property. The action appears to be a conflict of interest and in violation of HUD regulations. | Case declined by federal prosecutor. Accepted by state prosecutor but ultimately declined as the judge was unable to secure a special prosecutor before the statute of limitations expired. | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | All judicial action complete. | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/22/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | Waukegan Housing Authority staff discovered that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) failed to accurately declare her income while completing the yearly Section 8 recertification documents. As a result, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received Section 8 benefits that she would not have been entitled to if she had accurately declared her income. Loss amount \$23,682.00 | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) No further action is warranted. Close Investigation | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | The complainant alleges that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is stealing tenant checks and cashing them for his own personal use and receiving kickbacks from prospective tenants to move them ahead on the wait list. It is also alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is misusing funds from the properties. | Prosecution declined because of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | On June 18, 2018, EPA CID requested assistance on a complaint from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) an advocate for public housing and quality of life in New Haven, Connecticut regarding lead poisoning cases. It is alleged that the City of New Haven, an entitlement city receiving HUD program funding, failed to follow procedures for lead inspection and abatement in numerous cases where children have been found to be lead poisoned. | Lack of Evidence | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | The Vernon Housing Authority reported to the HUD Office of Public Housing an allegation that an employee diverted rental funds for personal use. The initial reporting is the allegations involve two federal rental units. | The prosecutor declined the case in exchange for the subject entering a drug rehabilitation program and paying restitution. All criminal, civil, and administrative sanctions have been considered. | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|--|
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/22/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(7)(A); (b)(7)(E) | Due to the ongoing investigation by the FBI's JTTF, HUD | |
| | | | (b)(7)(A); (b)(7)(E) | | |
| | 7/22/2022 | | HUDOIG received a complaint received from Salt Lake County Housing Authority. [REDACTED] advised they have a tenant who has been committing fraud by having the housing authority pay his rent to a non-profit organization which he controls. The subject was indicted, pled and was sentenced. Subject signed voluntary debarment - which was forwarded to the Departmental Enforcement Center. | All prosecutorial and administrative actions completed | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/25/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | In response to allegations that Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) landlord/owner [REDACTED] and his related entities in New Castle, DE were breaching owner's obligations—including failing to terminate tenancy of HCVP tenants for drug-related conduct—OIG developed evidence that [REDACTED] was routinely charging higher rents to HCVP-assisted tenants than for comparable, unassisted units in the Sparrow Run development. False Claims Act litigation by the United States Attorney's Office resulted in a settlement agreement stipulating payment of \$430,000 in restitution and penalties by [REDACTED] along with adjustment of assisted rents on the premises. ***REDACTED NARRATIVE*** The HUD OIG investigation of a Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) landlord/owner in New Castle County, Delaware resulted in a False Claims Act Settlement to resolve allegations the landlord routinely charged higher rents for HCVP-assisted rental units than for comparable unassisted units on the premises, contrary to the owner's certifications to the local Public Housing Authority. Restitution and penalties totaling \$430,000 were paid by the owner. | Civil False Claims action filed. Restitution and penalties totaling \$430,000 were paid by the owner. Case is being closed. | New Castle County (DE) Police officials related that the owner/manager of numerous HCVP-assisted units in the Sparrow Run development may have breached HCVP regulations by failing or refusing to terminate tenancy of participants engaged in drug-related criminal activity. Additionally, proactive review of PIC & open-source data suggests possible false statements by owner/manager regarding "rent comparability" of HCVP-assisted units. |
| | 7/25/2022 | | Security officials for Arbor Management, a Delaware property development and management firm, reported an apparent embezzlement by former [REDACTED]. Subsequent investigation established that [REDACTED] embezzled over \$125K in tenant rents at the Spellman House and Alden-Berkeley Apartments-- both low-income or HUD-assisted properties-- by stealing over 300 money orders submitted by various tenants and depositing them to her own accounts. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** A former manager for a Delaware property development and management company was sentenced to 18 months imprisonment after a HUD OIG investigation established that the former employee embezzled over \$125,000 in tenant rents, including rents from an development participating in the Project-Based Section 8 rental assistance program. | Investigation and judicial actions completed. Case is being closed. | A former manager for a Delaware property development and management company was sentenced to 18 months imprisonment after a HUD OIG investigation established that the former employee embezzled over \$125,000 in tenant rents, including rents from an development participating in the Project-Based Section 8 rental assistance program. Security officials for Arbor Management, a Delaware property development and management firm, reported an apparent embezzlement by former [REDACTED]. Subsequent investigation established that [REDACTED] embezzled over \$125K in tenant rents at the Spellman House and Alden-Berkeley Apartments - both low-income or HUD-assisted properties-- by stealing over 300 money orders submitted by various tenants and depositing them to her own accounts. |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 7/28/2022 | | <p>Case was referred by the NJDCJ alleging that (b)(6) applied for and received RSP and RREM grant funds for a damaged property address that might not be his primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), initiated this investigation on 8/22/2016 after receiving a referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ). The referral disclosed that the subject may have fraudulently applied for and obtained a resettlement grant (RSP) in the amount of \$10,000 along with a grant from the Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation, and Mitigation program (RREM) in the amount of \$120,347.39. The case was accepted for prosecution by the New Jersey Attorney General's office and the subject subsequently was charged with Theft by Deception.. The subject pled guilty and paid restitution in the amount of \$130,347.39 and received 4 years' probation</p> | <p>All judicial actions are complete. Case is being closed.</p> | <p>Case was referred by the NJDCJ alleging that (b)(6) applied for and received RSP and RREM grant funds for a damaged property address that might not be his primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy</p> |
| (b)(7)(E) | 7/28/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>Wayne County Housing Authority referral alleges that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) a participant in the HCVP, failed to truthfully report her household composition and income. Specifically that her husband was living with her and employed as a truck driver. *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** Investigation was initiated based on a referral from a Pennsylvania Housing Authority (HA) that alleged that a Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Participant failed to truthfully report household composition and income. Although the investigation was unable to substantiate the allegations, the investigation revealed that the landlord and owner of the subsidized unit was indicted in various State felony drug charges including possession, distribution, and conspiracy. The landlord pled guilty to the federal charges and is awaiting sentencing. State judicial proceedings are on-going. The OIG referred the landlord to the Departmental Enforcement Center for consideration for suspension and debarment.</p> | <p>Although original allegations were unsubstantiated, during the course of the investigation it was determined that Landlord was indicted on felony state and federal drug charges. Referral was made to DEC re landlord. Case is being closed.</p> | <p>Wayne County Housing Authority referral alleges that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) a participant in the HCVP, failed to truthfully report her household composition and income. Specifically that her husband was living with her and employed as a truck driver.</p> |
| | 7/28/2022 | | <p>SA (b)(6) received a complaint from (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) at the Atlantic City Housing Authority concerning potential violations being committed by the Housing Authority with respect to HCVP and HCVP landlord payments. She also stated that (b)(6) the ACHA Modernization program was awarding contracts to (b)(6) (contractor) which could be a conflict of interest *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** The OIG received a complaint making multiple allegations regarding conflict of interest within a HUD Public Housing Program. The OIG investigation findings were presented to State Prosecutors for consideration. State Prosecutors declined the case for criminal prosecution, but multiple individuals within this HUD Public Housing Program were fired for violating HUD'S conflict of interest policy. The case is being closed.</p> | <p>Prosecution declined on (b)(7)(E) Case is being administratively closed.</p> | <p>SA (b)(6) received a complaint from (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) at the Atlantic City Housing Authority concerning potential violations being committed by the Housing Authority with respect to HCVP and HCVP landlord payments. She also stated that (b)(6) the ACHA Modernization program was awarding contracts to (b)(6) (contractor) which could be a conflict of interest</p> |
| | 7/28/2022 | | <p>All incomes of this household isn't being reported. There is participants that live there is on state parole that isn't listed on the lease or section 8 voucher (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) (son) has been living there for a few years now with unreported income. He has his own landscaping company and he was also involved in the lump some of PUA fraud checks. All adults understand that any sources of incomes must be reported to section 8 and he has had plenty of other jobs but no reports has been made. He is also a criminal with a long criminal history or drug dealing. He may have also received a large check for the PPP as well. (b)(6) (daughter) also works as a CNA with work income and also lives at this property *****REDACTED NARRATIVE***** The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), initiated an investigation on September 20, 2021; after receiving a complaint via the HUD OIG Hotline. According to the complaint, a HUD Housing and Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) participant, allegedly was not truthfully reporting their household composition and income, both potential violations of HCVP program eligibility requirements. The investigation was closed for lack of evidence of a criminal offense taking place.</p> | <p>Lack of evidence/information. Unable to substantiate allegations. Case is being administratively closed with no further investigation anticipated at this time.</p> | <p>All incomes of this household isn't being reported. There is participants that live there is on state parole that isn't listed on the lease or section 8 voucher. (b)(6) (son) has been living there for a few years now with unreported income. He has his own landscaping company and he was also involved in the lump some of PUA fraud checks. All adults understand that any sources of incomes must be reported to section 8 and he has had plenty of other jobs but no reports has been made. He is also a criminal with a long criminal history or drug dealing. He may have also received a large check for the PPP as well. (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (daughter) also works as a CNA with work income and also lives at this property</p> |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|--|
| | 7/29/2022 | | <p>The U.S. Attorney's Office COVID-19 Working Group, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, reported that HSI initiated an investigation into [REDACTED] for selling fraudulent 3M N95 mask during the COVID pandemic. HSI issued a national fraud alert to over 7,000 medical facilities. To date, approximately 67 medical facilities responded to the fraud alert, including FHA insured hospitals in Albany, NY; Beaumont, TX; and LaGrange, TX. The USAO requested investigative assistance from the COVID-19 Working Group members to assist HSI, who is the lead investigative agency. -----</p> <p>----- This investigation was initiated following a request from the U.S. Attorney's Office. A national fraud alert was issued to medical facilities nationwide following an allegation that a company was selling fraudulent 3M N95 masks during the COVID-19 pandemic. Several medical facilities responded to the fraud alert claiming to have purchased the counterfeit 3M N95 masks. This investigation was closed due to a lack of a HUD nexus.</p> | Lack of HUD nexus/loss. Case is being administratively closed with no further activity anticipated. | |
| | 7/29/2022 | | <p>HUDOIG received a complaint alleging that an investment company is involved in a large flipping scheme. As a support for the allegation, the complainant referenced an instance in which 2 mortgages were incurred immediately after an FHA insured loan was secured for a Utah property in which the investment company was the seller. These allegations were investigated and found to be unsubstantiated.</p> | Allegations unsubstantiated, matter declined by the USAO | |
| | 7/30/2022 | | <p>This case was initiated from a spinoff of an existing case. The allegations involve an individual by the name of [REDACTED] who according to witnesses creates documents for real estate investors and straw buyers to purchase properties. The false documents are presented to lender institutions on behalf of the buyers to secure the mortgages. Further investigation revealed that [REDACTED] is listed as the owner of a trust which owns three properties that are not under [REDACTED] name. The properties are FHA insured.</p> | Statute of limitations | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 8/1/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>A management agent [REDACTED] has been accused/terminated of stealing tenant PII information from the Pleasant Valley Apartments (HUD section 8) to have her rental apartment utilities hooked up and paid by Pleasant Valley Apartments complex where she worked at. [REDACTED] indicated that some tenants have reported that they had accounts opened in their name that they didn't do. Redacted: This investigation was initiated based on a complaint from a HUD subsidized property located in West Virginia. It was alleged that [REDACTED] and her embezzled rent monies from the low-income property and also stole tenant's PII for the purpose of identity theft.</p> | Case Adjudicated | <p>A management agent [REDACTED] has been accused/terminated of stealing tenant PII information from the Pleasant Valley Apartments (HUD section 8) to have her rental apartment utilities hooked up and paid by Pleasant Valley Apartments complex where she worked at. [REDACTED] indicated that some tenants have reported that they had accounts opened in their name that they didn't do.</p> |
| | 8/2/2022 | | <p>On 02/19/2019, SA [REDACTED] received a complaint, in person, at the HUD-OIG OI [REDACTED] Office from [REDACTED] Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH), HUD, [REDACTED] Field Office. [REDACTED] whom he had worked with in the past. [REDACTED] informed that one of the PHAs [REDACTED] Bay City Housing Authority (BCHA), Texas, had some issues she believed HUD-OIG OI should look into. The complainant was [REDACTED] alleged three current BCHA board members [REDACTED] forced [REDACTED] by verbal threat, to write and sign BCHA checks from HUD funds for a lawsuit initiated by said BCHA board members against [REDACTED] in one instance, [REDACTED] indicated to [REDACTED] there were insufficient funds in the BCHA bank account. [REDACTED] then directed [REDACTED] to withdraw the funds from a Certificate of Deposit (CD) owned by BCHA. BCHA Board of Commissioners was billed \$12,000.00 (Invoice #021419 dated 02/14/2019), \$26,875.00 (Invoice #013119 dated 01/31/2019) and \$5,375.00 (Invoice #123118 dated 12/31/2019), for a total of \$44,250.00, from [REDACTED] for legal fees incurred in the above-said lawsuit, and paid using BCHA/HUD funds. A legal representation retainer was also paid by BCHA to [REDACTED] on 12/17/2018 for \$10,000.00 (Check #128768). All legal fees for this above-said lawsuit are not expenses of HUD program. Using HUD funds for unauthorized activities is a violation of BCHA's Annual Contributions Contracts. The BCHA Board indicated it was conducting an internal investigation into BCHA, and had uncovered multiple events which caused great concern on the part of the BCHA Board. [REDACTED] allegedly instructed one of the BCHA board member not to "rock the boat" and just sign the checks. When this board member refused to stop the internal investigation, [REDACTED] decided to end the investigation by removing all three above-mentioned BCHA board members. SA [REDACTED] forwarded the complaint to HUD-OIG Hotline on 02/21/2019, and received a Hotline case number on 02/25/2019. A complaint is opened to look</p> | No Action Taken | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 8/2/2022 | | <p>The investigation of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) La Joya Housing Authority (LJHA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a spinoff case from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) A (b)(7)(D) informed HUD-OIG that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(D)</p> <p>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(D)</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 8/5/2022 | | <p>On September 13, 2017, this office received information from the Beaver County HA regarding Homeownership participant (b)(6). As a homeownership participant, (b)(6) receives monthly subsidy from the HA to assist with her mortgage payments. It was alleged that (b)(6) received Homeownership payment from 2015 to 2017, however did not make mortgage payments. The HA has suffered a potential loss amount of over \$20,000.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 8/5/2022 | | <p>(b)(6) and Reserve at South Martin (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) allegedly altered tenant rent payments and cashed or deposited the payments for personal use.</p> | No Action Taken | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 8/9/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>During the HUDOIG investigation, Case (b)(7)(E) of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Central City Integrated Health (CCIH), CCIH (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) was looking into the asbestos abatement (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) during the renovation of St. Rita Apartments, which was funded by LIHTC and HUD HOME funds. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) at MSHDA explained that his unit conducted the Phase 1 environmental review of (b)(6) Apartments because it was a MSHDA LIHTC project. Prior to renovation, the inspection by the environmental consultant identified asbestos-containing material (ACM) largely in floor tiles, pipe insulation, and window caulking. However, the Closeout report post-renovation shows only the window caulking was removed. (b)(6) advised that (b)(6) told him that (b)(6) was vandalized and the pipes containing asbestos were removed by vandals. (b)(6) said it is unusual for steel radiator pipes to be stolen since it has little value. MSHDA had air clearance testing performed in/about October 2019 which came back clear. MSHDA also had a wall opened up to try and determine whether the pipe and ACM pipe wrap was still present; however, the walls were full of spray-foam insulation so it was not possible to inspect the pipes. (b)(6) said that the (b)(6) Apartments contained one of the largest amounts of ACM that he has seen in a project. Although rules can allow for certain ACM Type levels to be removed by non-asbestos contractors, in this case, the tile and pipe wrap was already broken and friable so everything would have been considered hazardous. (b)(6) was unsure whether applying spray-foam insulation around friable pipe wrap is an allowable way to encapsulate the asbestos. However, if this was done as a means of encapsulating the asbestos, it would have to be disclosed, which it was not. In addition, CCIH (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) relayed that an employee of the (b)(6) property management company told her that (b)(6) falsified the police reports of vandalism and that (b)(6) overcharged for the work done at (b)(6).</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 8/9/2022 | | <p>An anonymous complainant alleged a city was trying to steer a \$700 Community Development Block Grant award, meant to procure a new firetruck, to a particular firetruck manufacturer. During a City Council meeting, bids from several manufacturers were revealed. After firemen indicated they preferred a particular manufacturer who bid over the award amount, to other companies that submitted lower bids, the Council declined to accept any bids and issued a new RFP for the grant. The new RFP allegedly included a requirement for a proprietary manufacturing process only available in trucks made by the preferred manufacturer. The allegations could not be substantiated therefore the case was not referred for prosecution and is being administratively closed.</p> | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 8/10/2022 | | <p>Complainant reports that he registered as a federal contractor supplying electronic equipment through the government procurement process utilizing the SAM.GOV portal. Shortly after registering the complainant received an email from a .Gov address for a Request for Quote (RFQ) for laptops. The complainant, believing the request was legitimate, shipped the laptops totaling \$85,945.85 and never received payment.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 8/10/2022 | | <p>Turing Point Inc. is a non-profit organization that provides social services to individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities. A credible allegation has surfaced that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) embezzle funds from the non-profit through big rigging schemes, no-show jobs, and overinflated invoices that were submitted to HUD.</p> | No Action Taken | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 8/11/2022 | | On August 14, 2020, The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Inspector General (OIG) received a call from the U.S. Secret Service in regards to a Johnstown PIH tenant named (b)(6). It is alleged that (b)(6) has falsely filed for unemployment benefits. This is a joint investigation with the U.S. Secret Service, Postal Inspection Service, and U.S. Department of Labor OIG. They have requested assistance from HUD OIG in this matter. Redacted On August 14, 2020, The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Inspector General (OIG) received a call from the U.S. Secret Service in regards to a Johnstown PIH tenant. It is alleged that this tenant has falsely filed for unemployment benefits. This is a joint investigation with the U.S. Secret Service, Postal Inspection Service, and U.S. Department of Labor OIG. They have requested assistance from HUD OIG in this matter | Case Adjudicated | On August 14, 2020, The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Inspector General (OIG) received a call from the U.S. Secret Service in regards to a Johnstown PIH tenant named (b)(6). It is alleged that (b)(6) has falsely filed for unemployment benefits. This is a joint investigation with the U.S. Secret Service, Postal Inspection Service, and U.S. Department of Labor OIG. They have requested assistance from HUD OIG in this matter. - Redacted On August 14, 2020, The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Inspector General (OIG) received a call from the U.S. Secret Service in regards to a Johnstown PIH tenant. It is alleged that this tenant has falsely filed for unemployment benefits. This is a joint investigation with the U.S. Secret Service, Postal Inspection Service, and U.S. Department of Labor OIG. They have requested assistance from HUD OIG in this matter |
| | 8/11/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | This office is in receipt of information from the FBI that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) Diamond Residential Mortgage has been engaged in what appears to be money laundering/structuring activities with (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) appears to be conducting these activities in connection to flipping properties. Additional information provided alleges that (b)(6) placed opened an account for a property buyer identified as (b)(6) and placed a check in the account in the amount of \$12,000. (b)(6) told bank employees that (b)(6) needed the check to purchase a property. Later, with (b)(6) authorization, (b)(6) contacted the bank and transferred \$14,000 from (b)(6) account to his. (b)(6) indicated to the banker that he had loaned these funds to (b)(6) and she was repaying him. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 8/11/2022 | | (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) a syndicator of tax credits and Limited Partner (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) alleged that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) of the (b)(6) was engaged in a cost shifting scheme involving (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) a low income housing tax credit project (b)(6) Kansas. Additionally, the project included a \$400,000 investment grant provided by (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) for the demolition of an adjacent building, (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) to be used for parking. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | (b)(7)(E) |
| | 8/11/2022 | | On April 7, 2017, this office received a referral from Wells Fargo Bank (WFB), (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) Investigator, (b)(6) alleging that FHA borrower, (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) was a foreclosure rescue scam victim, and that (b)(6) from the Southwest Consumer Group, had exposed WFB to approximately \$19,000 in diverted mortgage payments. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 8/12/2022 | | Lender Placed Insurance is required by FHA on all insured properties and by most lenders. LPI is purchased and placed on a property where the borrower has failed to maintain hazard and/or flood insurance. Often, the loan servicer receives a commission or other compensation from the insurer for the placement of the insurance. This compensation and the underlying insurance premium, accumulates in negative escrow and is paid by the property at the time of sale. This charge, and other disposition charges, effectively reduces the equity left in the property and in an insured claim, raises the loss amount. | No Action Taken | |
| | 8/14/2022 | | (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) of a Tribal Housing Authority alleged a tenant, who was (b)(6) of the Tribe, was not disclosing all of his income to the HA in order to accurately calculate rent. (b)(6) suspected the subject earned enough income to make him ineligible for housing subsidies. The case was declined by the United States Attorney's Office. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | (b)(7)(E) |
| | 8/14/2022 | | HUD staff reported a projector was stolen from one of their offices. The projector, which was installed on the ceiling of a conference room at the location was last seen eight days prior to it being reported missing. The conference room was locked and HUD staff believed the room could only be accessed by HUD employees via a PIV card, or other building personnel who had access to a master key. Investigation revealed that multiple individuals could access the key and therefore a subject could not reasonably be identified. | Lack of identifiable subject/witness | |

| Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| 8/18/2022 | | Anonymous complainant sent letter which referenced the recent audit report of the New Roads HA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which questioned (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reportedly issuing three checks totaling \$92,474 to a company which is believed to be owned by her live in boyfriend. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 8/24/2022 | | It is alleged that several tenants who reside in Tower West a multifamily project based building located in New York, NY are not reporting their true family composition and accurate income. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 8/25/2022 | | This office is in receipt of information from an ongoing mortgage fraud investigation that Tiphareth Group LLC, a company that is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sold multiple properties on the south side of Chicago to straw buyers. It is alleged that the information used to obtain financing and FHA insurance was fraudulent, to include the borrower's intention to occupy the property as a primary residence, employment, bank account assets, the true source of the earnest money and down payment, and payments made outside of the HUD-1 Settlement Statement. An initial review indicated 7 FHA insured loans and 3 conventional loans that warrant further investigation. | Case Adjudicated | |
| 8/25/2022 | | In a HUD OIG Hotline referral and subsequent interview by HUD OIG, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Complainant and HUD's Section 8 Program Participant at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) located in Sherman, Texas, alleged numerous allegations against (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) employees. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) alleged (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) embezzled approximately \$32,000 in funds from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Additionally (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) alleged former (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) altered information in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) tenant file to include false information about (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) employment and forged (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) signatures. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also alleged that she paid more money in rent each month to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) approximately \$400 to \$600 by a money order, when her rent amount was calculated at \$25. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 8/26/2022 | | QAD review of loans originated by Infinity Home Mortgage for properties in and around Newark, NJ, sold by Westinghouse Redevelopment Act, Inc (WRAI), found numerous potentially fraudulent documents used in the origination of the loans, and indications of straw buyers. Suspect documents include large settlements received for alleged accident claims to inflate assets prior to closing, fraudulent tax returns, and questionable appraisals. | Case Adjudicated | |
| 8/26/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) HUD Public Housing Employee, (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) has a mother who allegedly applied for and received a project-based section 8 unit within the Windsor Housing Authority (WHA), Windsor, CT. Allegedly, on the same day (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) mother applied, she was placed on WHA wait list, and she received a WHA project-based Section 8 unit. The WHA has a significant subsidized housing wait list. It is alleged (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) WHA (at the time of this matter), has a personal relationship with (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) and allegedly assisted (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) mother with this process. Recently (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) called the WHA to request that her mother be given a tenant based housing choice voucher. HUD rules allow a tenant who resides in a project-based building for one year to then become eligible to receive a tenant based voucher. Thus allowing the tenant to move to any privately owned property that accepts housing choice voucher participants. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| 8/26/2022 | | On 06/14/2019, The United States Attorney's Office, District of Massachusetts, provided information which alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Massachusetts may have demanded sexual favors and/ or sexually assaulted persons seeking emergency shelter in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Massachusetts area. Initial investigation by HUD-OIG indicates that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) administers a HUD funded Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG), (formerly the Emergency Shelter Grants Program) which, among other things, provides emergency shelter to those in need. | No Action Taken | |
| 9/6/2022 | | A PHA reported a case of forgery of an employee's signature. The HUDOIG investigation revealed that an HCVP participant falsified a letter which permitted another, a convicted felon, to reside in the assisted unit. The subject pled guilty to a state misdemeanor charge and was appropriately sentenced. Subject was terminated from the HCVP and referred to the DEC for administrative action. | Case Adjudicated | |
| 9/7/2022 | | HUD OIG received allegation from the Boston Housing Authority of a tenant landlord family relationship in violation of BHA policy. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 9/7/2022 | | HUD OIG received an allegation from the Watertown Police Department of property manager accepting bribes and extorting potential tenants for preferential treatment and public housing opportunities. | Lack of evidence/information | |

| Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 9/7/2022 | | The Louisiana Office of Community Development – Disaster Recovery Unit (OCD) reported that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) grant recipient, allegedly submitted forged documents canceling mortgages held by OCD. (b)(6) received multiple grants in the form of forgivable loans through the Louisiana Road Home Small Rental Property Program (SRPP) to repair damaged rental housing for low income families (b)(6) applied for funds to repair eight rental properties. The loan is a non-recourse loan, secured by a mortgage on the property. OCD's only recourse if an owner defaults is foreclosure of the property. (b)(6) allegedly forged documents canceling the mortgages on three of these loans. (b)(6) owes OCD \$556,469.23 for these three loans. | Case Adjudicated | |
| 9/12/2022 | | HUD-OIG will review all COVID-19/ CARE Act allegations and lead and/or provide necessary assistance for related investigations. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| 9/12/2022 | | The USAO, Northern District of NY, requested if this office could assist with an investigation into a Syracuse, NY landlord who has public assistance tenants living in grossly substandard housing. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| 9/12/2022 | | It is alleged that (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) of Buffalo Housing Authority failed to disclose his marriage to an employee of a company where a contract was awarded. | Lack of investigative resources | |
| 9/12/2022 | | The individuals listed here all conspired to provide false pay stubs in the amounts of \$4800-\$6000 to the mortgage company in order to procure a loan for the property located at (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) Gloucester City, NJ, 08030. The loan is in the amount of \$95,000-\$98,000 and it is an FHA backed mortgage (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) and her father (b)(6) are both real estate agents for Coldwell Banker. They provided the false pay stubs to the mortgage procurer (b)(6). He was so afraid that he would lose his license that pounded on the door of the property the day after closing, demanding more false pay stubs. I witnessed this mess and I have copies of the transaction. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| 9/13/2022 | | Information received alleged violations of HUD's regulations regarding the confidentiality of a Request for Proposals (RFP) by the board of a Public Housing Authority (PHA) since known and unknown individuals to the complainant had received information of the qualifications, rankings and pricing matters before the Best and Final Offers were requested and the results of the RFP process were publicly known by most of the competitors at least five days before the notifications of the results were sent out by the PHA. Also, the complainant alleged that one of the RFP bidders must have provided false information regarding the company's experience during the RFP process since the company claimed to have 13 years of experience, but the company had been incorporated six years before the RFP bids were submitted to the PHA. The investigation revealed that a company provided material misrepresentations to a PHA in response to an RFP for Management Agent Services. The company misrepresented to the PHA that it had contracts with two municipalities and an insurance company. The misrepresentations caused the PHA to send Questionnaire for Reference (Questionnaire) to those entities that did not have contracts with the company. The PHA received the Questionnaires containing misleading information regarding evaluations of the company's performance for services they had supposedly provided under contract to those entities. The misrepresentations led to the company getting a contract on (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) in the amount of \$4,562,988. (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) the PHA terminated the company's contract for default for providing false and misleading information that led to the award of the contract, to the detriment of HUD programs, the PHA and other proponents with considerable experience in public housing management. | No Action Taken | |
| 9/13/2022 | | A complaint was received which alleged a former housing authority (HA) employee misused HA funds, received benefits from HA vendors, and falsified time and attendance. A HUD OIG investigation was opened but did not find evidence of any actionable HUD-related fraud. The case was administratively closed. | Lack of HUD nexus/loss | |
| 9/14/2022 | | It is alleged that a HUD Multifamily property management company is involved in a fraud scheme related to at least 10 properties in Alabama. The allegations include subsidy vouchering for vacant units, insurance fraud and uncashed utility allowance checks. The investigation did not reveal evidence to support the allegations. Further investigation is not merited. Case closing is recommended. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| 9/16/2022 | | HUD OIG initiated this case to ascertain whether (b)(6) misused Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Disaster Recovery (DR) funds and whether there were conflicts of interest violations. (b)(6) is a CPA and business advisory firm that provides a wide range of services, including disaster recovery, compliance, and grant management. (b)(6) work conducted in the State of West Virginia is currently being investigated under HUD OIG case number (b)(7)(E) | Lack of evidence/information | |

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|--|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 9/19/2022 | | The New Britain Housing Authority (NBHA) was chosen to review a compliance review. During the compliance review, two staff members admitted to having family members illegally placed on the Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP). The NBHA staff members alleged (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) the NBHA HCVP (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) admitted the family members to the program by bypassing the waitlist requirements. | Prosecution declined of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(F) | |
| | 9/19/2022 | | (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Account Executive Branch, HUD Multifamily, allegedly approved special fees for properties managed by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). These special fees have never been approved by HUD Multifamily and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is directing HUD Multifamily Account Executive employees to not release the approval of these special fees to anyone outside of the Boston office. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 9/20/2022 | | A referral from another law enforcement agency alleged the owner of a Cincinnati based daycare may be engaged excessive structuring and money laundering activities. The daycare owner was a HCVP tenant through the Cincinnati Metro Housing Authority and may have had unreported income. | Prosecution declined of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(F) | |
| | 9/21/2022 | | A referral from another law enforcement agency alleged a city mayor was allegedly behind moving a sizable amount of this HUD money to an investment company. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 9/26/2022 | | A referral from a local city official alleged a landlord provided fraudulent property inspection certifications to the housing authority as part of the landlord and property certification process. Further it was alleged the landlord had a conflict of interest with an employee of the city's building department. | Prosecution declined of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(F) | |
| | 9/26/2022 | | HUD OIG received a request for assistance from the NJ State Police on an unauthorized tenant living in a project-based apartment in a Housing Authority in New Jersey. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 9/28/2022 | | The New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) Inspector General's Office issued a report entitled False Certifications of NYCHA Lead Paint Inspections, dated November 2017. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Criminal Investigation Division requested HUD/OIG's assistance in determining if NYCHA's management has criminal liability regarding this. | Prosecution declined of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(F) | |
| | 9/28/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | A former employee of a Tribal Housing Authority (the Employer) alleged the employer terminated his/her employment in retaliation because (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) believed the complainant aided another employee in obtaining documents that allegedly proved that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) inflated per diem for travel. Findings of case forwarded to HUDOIG-OLC. | No Action Taken | |
| | 9/29/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | UNREDACTED -- HUD OIG received a referral through the OIG Hotline alleging that a private citizen attempted to defraud HUD's FHA program by providing a fraudulent documentation to HUD and the financial institution responsible for their FHA insured mortgage. It was alleged that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) provided a fraudulent Certificate of Satisfaction in an attempt to defraud HUD out of an outstanding defaulted mortgage in the amount of \$111,377.12, on a property located at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Brandywine, MD 20613. ----- REDACTED -- The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General initiated this investigation on April 30, 2019, based on a HUD OIG Hotline complaint alleging that a private citizen attempted to defraud HUD's Federal Housing Administration (FHA) program by providing fraudulent documentation to HUD and the financial institution responsible for their FHA insured mortgage. Specifically, a fraudulent Certificate of Satisfaction was provided to HUD in an attempt to defraud HUD out of an outstanding defaulted mortgage (partial claim) in the amount of \$111,377.12, on a property located at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Brandywine, MD 20613. The investigation substantiated the allegations. The subject pled guilty to one count of Wire Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1343, and was sentenced in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, Greenbelt, MD to three (3) months imprisonment, three (3) years supervised release to include six (6) months home detention, and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$111,377.12 to HUD. | Case Adjudicated | HUD OIG received a referral through the OIG Hotline alleging that a private citizen attempted to defraud HUD's FHA program by providing a fraudulent documentation to HUD and the financial institution responsible for their FHA insured mortgage. It was alleged that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) provided a fraudulent Certificate of Satisfaction in an attempt to defraud HUD out of an outstanding defaulted mortgage in the amount of \$111,377.12, on a property located at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Brandywine, MD 20613. |
| | 9/29/2022 | | HUD/OIG received a hotline complaint alleging the victim was awarded a HUD Grant. In order to receive the grant, the victim was instructed to send money to cover administrative fees. Between January and July 2016, HUD/OIG received several similar complaints. The contact phone number provided to the victims in each instance was (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). For additional information, refer to case# (b)(7)(F) | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 9/30/2022 | | This investigation initiated as a complaint to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD OIG) Hotline alleging Integra Affordable Management, failed to maintain White Pond Villa apartments and billed HUD for vacant units. White Pond Villa apartments receives rental assistance funds from a HUD Multifamily Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) contract and accepts Housing Choice Voucher tenants. | Lack of evidence/information | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|------------------------------|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 9/30/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | This case was predicated upon a referral from the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Office of Inspector General (OIG). The U.S. Attorney's Office (USAO) for the Northern District of Ohio (NDOH) contacted DOL OIG regarding an ongoing investigation of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Specifically, it was alleged (b)(6) and others collected Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) from multiple states using multiple identities (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) employee, was alleged to be involved in the PUA scheme to defraud. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 10/2/2022 | | The HUD OIG Richmond Field Office received an email from the HUD Public Housing program office regarding a complaint they received regarding allegations that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Public Housing Authority in Virginia embezzled gift cards, took supplies, claimed telework due to a medical condition even though she is able bodied, and terminated staff without proper cause. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 10/11/2022 | | Section 8 head of household alleged to be harboring individual subject to lifetime registration as a sex offender as an unauthorized household occupant. | Employee Matter Referred | |
| | 10/12/2022 | | On April 5, 2021, HUD OIG received a referral by another law enforcement agency regarding an individual who was a borrower relative to a \$29 million multifamily mortgage insured by HUD for the construction of an apartment complex located in Chester, VA. This individual allegedly provided false information on his personal financial statements in support of the mortgage. | No Action Taken | |
| | 10/12/2022 | | The HUD OIG Richmond Field Office received a complaint from a public housing authority that a Housing Choice Voucher landlord was charging tenants amounts over and above their agreed upon lease payments. | No Action Taken | |
| | 10/13/2022 | | A proactive review of landlord and tenant information for the Bucks County, PA Housing Authority by HUD OIG identified apparent prohibited relationships between a number of HCVP landlords and their assisted tenants, including (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is the apparent daughter of the HCVP tenants, contrary to (b)(6) certifications to the Housing Authority. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 10/14/2022 | | On April 21st 2016, Agent (b)(6) reviewed an Atlanta HOC complaint regarding a possible Loan Modification or Mortgage Assistance scam in which an FHA borrower in Evansville, Indiana was the victim. The Atlanta QAD complainant narrative reads as follows: This is a self-report of a proven mortgage assistance relief scam. The borrower was contacted by a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claiming to be with Wells Fargo and was instructed to pay \$1,000 followed by three trial payments of \$628 at an interest rate of 2.25% to avoid foreclosure. There is no (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) employed in Wells Fargo loss mitigation. The borrower sent \$1000 sent via money gram to a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Florida. There is no (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) employed with Wells Fargo. The borrowers were not in modification review at the time of that scam took place in 12/2015 and were due for the 12/2015 payment. At that time the borrower contacted Wells Fargo their payment was two days overdue. The borrower has since brought the loan current. The reporting Agent contacted the Atlanta HOC and requested the file. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 10/14/2022 | | The reporting Agent received a phone call from Bloomington Police Detective (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding a theft case involving an apartment complex manager embezzling tenant rent payments. He advised that the theft was reported by Country View Apartments in Bloomington, Indiana. Detective (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believed that because some of the amounts seemed to be partial rent payments, some of the tenants may be receiving Section 8 assistance from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, through the Bloomington Housing Authority. The reporting Agent confirmed that Country View Apartments is a complex that contains Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program assisted units. Detective (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that he would send the reporting Agent suspect and victim information, as well as any other case material he has compiled thus far. | Case Adjudicated | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | 10/14/2022 | | <p>The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development allocated \$1.7 billion in Community Development Block Grant- Disaster Recovery funds to the state of Louisiana for recovery from the Great Floods of 2016. The funds were provided in three separate allocations: •\$437.8 million in October 2016; •\$1.2 billion in December 2016; and •\$51.4 million in May 2017. For the first allocation, HUD required that \$350.2 million of the funding must be utilized in HUD's list of six most-impacted and distressed parishes: Ascension, East Baton Rouge, Lafayette, Livingston, Ouachita and Tangipahoa; that \$87.6 million must be utilized in other areas determined by the state to be most impacted and distressed; and that 70% of the total funding must benefit low-to-moderate income individuals. For the second allocation, HUD expanded the most impacted and distressed parishes to 10, adding: Acadia, St. Tammany, Vermilion and Washington parishes, and required that 80% of the funding must be utilized in those 10 most-impacted and distressed parishes; and that 70 percent of the total funding must benefit low-to-moderate income individuals. For the third allocation, HUD allotted \$51,435,000 to Louisiana from the \$400 million Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2017 for recovery from major national disasters that occurred in 2015 and later</p> | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 10/17/2022 | | <p>This case was introduced as a HUD Hotline Complaint. The Complainant advised during the bidding process of a property listed on HUD Home Store website, the property was listed as an "owner occupant" property located in Tennessee, the complainant advised the person(s) who won the bid on the property purchased the property as an owner occupant, but instead the buyer(s) intentions were to purchase the property, make minimum repairs and resale the property for a profit. An internet and utility search were conducted on the property and both searches concluded that the property had not been sold and the utilities are currently in the name(s) of the owners. Currently, there is no indication that the owners violated HUD Policy which requires the homeowners to reside in or hold the property for at least one (1) year before the subject property can be sold. This case was presented to the United States Attorney's Office (USAO). The USAO concluded, based on the investigative findings, the USAO issued a case declination..</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 10/18/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>HUD's QAD alleged that America Home Free Mortgage (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) (b)(6) concealed loan proceeds of FHA insured Construction-to-Permanent (CTP) mortgages through a construction fee paid to MK Financial (MK), a company (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) (b)(6). The investigation identified evidence of false statements made by (b)(6) to HUD and HUD OIG special agents. These false statements involved (b)(6) claims that MK did not make a profit and only collected funds directly needed to facilitate AHFM's CTP Program. (b)(6) falsely claimed that approximately 90-95% of fees collected by MK went back to AHFM, when (b)(6) knew that he personally received approximately 45% of the money collected by MK. Additionally, the investigation revealed that AHFM (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) financially controlled MK and later, even set up and controlled another similar construction management company called 1X Funding (1X). (b)(6) sent monies, outside of the property closings, from MK or 1X back to AHFM to pay for costs actually incurred by AHFM. In addition, (b)(6) used this money to pay (b)(6) additional monies over and above his AHFM salary. In August of 2019, (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) were indicted on charges of Conspiracy to Defraud a Federal Agency, Mail Fraud, and Aiding and Abetting. The indictment was superseded in July of 2020 and again in March of 2021. Ultimately, (b)(6) pled to one count of False Statement to HUD and (b)(6) entered into a Pre-Trial Diversion agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 10/19/2022 | | <p>This office is in receipt of allegations that (b)(6) of Champaign Investments has been fraudulently obtaining properties and flipping them for a great profit. Specifically it has been alleged that (b)(6) watches Foreclosure notices and approaches the owner of the property with a deal to assist without foreclosure. (b)(6) gets the mortgage servicer to agree to a short sale. (b)(6) has a select group of realtors that perform the required BPO for the bank. However, (b)(6) purportedly tells the realtors what price to give the bank. In return (b)(6) allegedly provides the realtors with cash and listings of other properties he has obtained.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 10/20/2022 | | <p>During another HUDOIG investigation, allegation was received that funds required to be held in reserve by the owner of a HUD HAP Contract Only Multifamily Property, may have misappropriated. Investigation revealed that the funds were never held in the benefit of MF property as required.</p> | Lack of HUD nexus/loss | |
| | 10/21/2022 | | <p>A daughter is renting a house thru HUD and her mother and her mother's boyfriend have that house under contract to rent to own. The contract is with a private owner. They are renting to own and now the daughter is living there (with her boyfriend) and HUD is paying her mother's contract payment. The mother began renting the house back around 2016 and pays \$500 a month to the owner. Now the daughter lives in it and they are committing fraud.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |

| Date Closed | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-------------|--|---|---|
| 10/21/2022 | Case was referred to HUD OIG by US Secret Service based upon a statement by subject that he was defrauded by an individual who called on behalf of mortgage refinancing company, Select Portfolio Servicing. Subject was originally listed as a potential suspect in a money card skimming investigation by the USSS but petitioned the agency for the return of his Walmart Gift Cards which he insisted were funded by himself to make a mortgage payment. Subject filed a police report on 9/24/19 with the Prince William County Police Dept. (VA) stating the same and that he was the victim of mortgage loan modification fraud. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 10/24/2022 | This matter is predicated based on information revealed during an unrelated victim interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for HUD-OIG Case Number (b)(7)(E). During this interview, the homeowners (the victims) claimed they hired an individual (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to save their home from a Trustee Sale and subsequently, foreclosure. It was explained that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a Real Estate Agent who was referred to them by another Real Estate Agent, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The victims purportedly paid (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about \$5,000 to help them obtain a loan modification but (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) failed to do so when they received a Notice of Trustee Sale. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) proposed they contact (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in this foreclosure rescue scheme (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) repeatedly clouds title with fractional interest grant deeds to people that do not exist and records the grants deeds at the respective Recorder's office. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) then files fraudulent bankruptcies with the fictitious names to delay foreclosure. Currently, the victims took in two renters to pay (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) \$700 a month to stop each Trustee Sale. | Case Adjudicated | |
| 10/25/2022 | HUD OIG OI, Region 3, received information from the OIG Hotline (reference (b)(7)(E)) submitted by an anonymous complainant and alleging that Lutheran Manor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was improperly awarding contract work to her husband's business. Lutheran Manor participates in the Project-Based Section 8 Program and is subject to a regulatory agreement and/or continued use agreement. ----- HUD OIG OI, Mid-Atlantic Region, Philadelphia, PA received information from the OIG Hotline (reference (b)(7)(E)) submitted by an anonymous complainant alleging that the Lutheran Manor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was improperly awarding contracts to her husband's business. Lutheran Manor participates in the Project-Based Section 8 Program and is no longer subject to a regulatory agreement. The US Attorney Office declined to prosecute this matter. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 10/25/2022 | It is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was hired at the Indianapolis FHA Call Center on February 6, 2019 as a contractor call center employee. Upon the initial hiring the employee is placed in a training status and only handles tier 1 incoming calls which is simply answering frequently asked questions found in a spreadsheet. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was fingerprinted and applied for his PIV Contractor card on February 20, 2019. The credential was then printed on March 27, 2019 due to a delay in processing PIV cards. The card was added to the system on March 29, 2019 and mailed to the Indianapolis Call Center contractor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received the PIV card on April 3, 2019 and noticed immediately the person the PIV card was not the person who had been showing up for work at the call center. The (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called the employee in and took his picture and he was escorted off the property on April 3, 2019. His employment was also terminated by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) due to the security concern. | Case Adjudicated | |
| 10/26/2022 | A proactive query identified potential fraud on the part of a Washington County MD Housing Authority Employee. | Lack of evidence/information | |
| 10/26/2022 | Per information relayed from HQ-OPS / COVID-19 Working Group, HHS-OIG received an allegation that the principal of FHA-insured (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) improperly applied \$1.5M in CARES Act funding received for PPE and staffing. The complainant alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted using the money to reorganize the business, which is in bankruptcy. The facility was designated as troubled by HUD in 2019. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| 10/26/2022 | This office is in receipt of information from an FBI Source, which alleges that Springfield Housing Authority (SHA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is providing inside bid information to contractor and former SHA employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in order to ensure (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) construction company obtains SHA contracts. The SHA contracts are required to be procured through a closed bid process. The source indicated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were at one point involved in a romantic relationship. Information obtained from a former SHA employee allege that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) owns a property, which has a Section-8 tenant as its occupant. It is further alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) placed the property in her mother's name for the purpose of concealing her ownership of it. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| | 10/26/2022 | | The Agent was notified by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Noblesville Housing Authority that a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Section 8 tenant who has been on the program since 2011 was found to be married since 2014 and had not reported her marriage to the Housing Authority. In addition, it appears (b)(6) also purchased a home in 2017 with her husband (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and is residing in that property. It is unknown who is living in the Section 8 property located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) Fishers Indiana. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 10/26/2022 | | (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 10/28/2022 | | On May 23, 2022, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Pittsburgh Office received information from the Allegheny County Housing Authority (HA) Police Detective (b)(6) via email. From a non-government yahoo email account, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent an email to the HA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) requesting HA landlord information. (b)(6) attached the HUD OIG seal and banner in a manner that the HA employees felt that (b)(6) was an associated or a member of HUD OIG. | Prosecution declined or (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 10/28/2022 | | Complainant states that she assisted subject with housing benefits and through the course of business it was determined that the subject misrepresented her assets. | No Action Taken | |
| | 10/28/2022 | | Allegations involving multiple subjects have been received by HUD-OIG involving false claims regarding missing rent payments made to the State of North Carolina's Housing Opportunities for the Prevention of Evictions (HOPE) program. Subjects as either purported tenants or landlords have submitted requests through the NC HOPE website for funds to be sent to them to compensate for rent payments unable to be made due to the COVID-19 pandemic, when they were not actually tenants/landlords of the subject properties. Locations of alleged fraud are in and around the Eastern District of North Carolina. A specific loss amount is unknown at this time. | Lack of HUD nexus/loss | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 10/28/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | The local police department received information alleging (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the housing authority was committing fraud. According to the allegation and initial findings of the PD investigation, (b)(6) issued checks to individuals for services that were never rendered. These checks were found to be issued to those with a relationship to (b)(6) or in the name of individuals who had no knowledge of checks or alleged work they performed. HUD OIG was contacted by the PD, who requested that HUD OIG participate in the ongoing investigation. | Prosecution declined or (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 11/3/2022 | | The complainant, Officer (b)(6) with the Milford Police Department, stated she had a woman squat in a HUD house claiming she bought it and provided documentation that is forged. Officer (b)(6) also stated the same woman had been involved in other complaints within her department, but she is unsure if HUD has been contacted; she stated they only reported it through city officials. The complainant attempted to retrieve the Detroit HUD OIG number from the OIG website, but it did not work. Officer (b)(6) is requesting follow up communication. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 11/7/2022 | | HUD OIG received a referral from a Housing Authority that a Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) participant, failed to report employment income on their annual certifications. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 11/7/2022 | | HUD-OIG received an anonymous phone allegation that Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was placed on paid, administrative leave last month because he has been accused of sexually harassing more than five SNRHA employees working in HUD PIH programs in violation of federal civil rights statutes (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is alleged to have made inappropriate sexual comments, sexual advances, and had multiple physical relationships with his subordinates. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is being investigated internally for sexual harassment by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which was found guilty of sexually harassing his own subordinate less than a year ago and served 6 month's probation, a potential conflict of interest. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is alleged to have paid some of the women by cash app to alter their testimony/drop their complaint against him. (b)(6) has personally interviewed all of the staff that accused (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (without HR/union present). (b)(6) is accused of taking retaliatory personnel actions against the accusers including one staff member being placed on suspension after admitting to sexual advances by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and bribing staff to change their statements by offering pay raises to the accusers. According to the complainant, (b)(6) told one accuser that he had to save (b)(6) or he'd go down too. HUD-OIG will investigate the matter further. | Case Adjudicated | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|---|
| | 11/16/2022 | | <p>Under their contract with HUD, DGG has 48 hours to do a HPIR. Prior to them getting all the other contracts they did during the summer of 2021, they had knowingly put fraudulent HPIR reports into (b)(6). DGG employees were able to do this on around 100 properties a month (as per DGG stats) prior to adding the new areas in July/August. Their employees were instructed that if the HPIR was not in 48 hours that they were to use one of the manager's passwords and put in a HPIR inspection that was generic and had no photos. Once the HPIR was actually completed, they would edit the fake HPIR and upload photos to (b)(6). When asked what they would say if they get caught they said that they would say it was a problem with the algorithm that uploads the information and it did not upload the photos. When they received the new areas in July/August, employees were instructed not to put in the fraudulent HPIRs for the first month as it would arouse suspicions when the previous FSM had done so poorly with their scorecard. DGG/Guardian keeps track of all the fraudulent HPIRs on their system with a specific code for the fraudulent HPIRs. This is how they know how many were done each month, and so they know which ones to make sure to go back to edit as to not get caught. They have been caught a few times but have been able to blame the algorithm for the problem and no further investigations were done. Recently, they were caught in 6S and the employees were instructed to just not enter any fake HPIRs into the system for that area for a few weeks. Also, under DGG's contracts with HUD, they are not allowed to service properties that are in pre-conveyance to HUD as it is considered a conflict of interest. DGG has done this on numerous occasions as they put those properties in the system as Guardian Asset Management, this is a dba for DGG, for all the pre-conveyance work for HUD insured properties. To get around this one, they will also not report any issues that may have been missed on the pre-conveyance end and just fix the problems as to not get caught. They would get caught by reporting it because it would go back to the mortgage to be reviewed and would be noted that Guardian/DGG did New Castle (DE) Police requested HUD OIG assistance in a NCCPD investigation into alleged illegal activities by (b)(6) a HCVF participant in New Castle. NCCPD alleges (among other issues) false statements by (b)(6) to New Castle Section 8 officials concerning her household composition and criminal history.</p> | Lack of evidence/information | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 11/16/2022 | | <p>Subject is allegedly falsifying recertification documentations for HUD. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the company, was reapplying the company for recertification to provide subsidized housing and needed the signature of the complainant in order to submit the application off to HUD. However, the complainant, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) never received any paperwork to sign for reapplication for HUD and saw that (b)(6) has forged his signatures on the applications (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has forged a resident's signature for further certification on those applications as well. The complainant has reported (b)(6) to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on 04/15/2021 and the company has not done anything to (b)(6) besides move her to a new location of the company.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 11/16/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) might have outside employment in one or two law firms, each of which include real estate practices, among others (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) serves as a HUD (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)</p> <p>a focus on financial and business concerns. He is not responsible for the legal review of closing submissions. HUD records do not show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sought ethics guidance for these activities when he should have. OIG will initially determine whether (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has or is engaged in prohibited acts such as receiving compensation or fees where the U.S. is a party or has an interest; representing someone else in claims matters before the U.S.; working on matters related the U.S. that will personally benefit his financial interests; and/or receives compensation from another employment source other than HUD.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 11/16/2022 | | | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 11/17/2022 | | <p>The Federal Bureau of Investigation notified HUD OIG of allegations that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was paying Chester Housing Authority Tenants to falsify Payroll Protection Program loan applications.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 11/23/2022 | | <p>HUD OIG initiated this investigation based on a complaint by a HUD CPD employee alleging that the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was complicit regarding the potential misappropriation of CDBG funds. Specifically, regarding a questionable LOCCS draw. The allegations were unable to be substantiated. Additionally, the account alleged associated with the questionable LOCCS draw was a closed business account. The US Attorney's Office declined the case for prosecution.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | 11/29/2022 | | <p>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was awarded approximately \$1.6M in contracts for residential and commercial demolition projects from 2017-2018. Approximately \$600,000 was obtained through the Hardest Hit Fund (HHF) for residential demolitions, and approximately \$1M was funded by non-HHF sources for residential and commercial demolitions. It was alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) invoiced the City of Detroit and MSHDA for backfill dirt that they obtained free of charge from private demolitions and possibly used backfill dirt from prohibited sources such as the I-94 freeway excavations. SIGTARP has requested HUD-OIG assistance with determining how much, if any, of the non-HHF funds were provided through HUD's CDBG programs and if HUD funds were misused or at risk.</p> | No Action Taken | |
| | 11/29/2022 | | <p>HUD-OIG Office of Investigation received a referral from HUD-OIG Office of Audit. The referral raises concerns about overpayment for appraisals conducted relating to GOSR's buyback program. Furthermore, concerns are raised about proper documentation provided with the appraisals.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 11/29/2022 | | <p>Complainant alleges that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Garfield Housing Authority has been allowing friends to acquire housing they would not be entitled to, a couple receiving a 3 bedroom apartment when it is only the 2 of them. Also (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is allegedly requesting tenants pay additional money each month often stating that they were under charged.</p> | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 11/29/2022 | | <p>The NYS Ag's office requested assistance from HUD OIG for their investigation into allegations against two Long Island nursing homes that have FHA mortgages and funding sources that include CARES Act and HHS. The residents who died from Covid related illnesses were not tested, isolated, or identified due to directives from Kalter to keep costs down while he withdrew over \$3million for personal use.</p> | Lack of HUD nexus/loss | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 11/30/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>This case is a proactive investigation/complaint from information generated by Neighborhood Watch. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a loan officer with Trustmark National Bank. The Neighborhood Watch information showed that 5 out of 7 FHA loans (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) originated are in default status. **Update** After reviewing the FHA loan files and analyzing certain supporting documents, there appears to be no indication of fraud within the FHA loans. No further investigative action is needed at this time and this matter is being administratively closed.</p> | Lack of HUD nexus/loss | |
| | 12/1/2022 | | <p>Anonymous complainant alleges officials from the Long Branch Housing Authority are misusing HUD funds.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/6/2022 | | <p>The NY HUD OIG OI office received complaint from the hotline (b)(7)(E) alleging that (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) a bookkeeper at a multi-family building, has been stealing money from the subsidized Section 8 units located in the development.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/12/2022 | | <p>It is alleged that former SNRHA employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) converted thousands of dollars worth of tenant money orders addressed to the SNRHA to her own use via forgery, and deposited said funds in her personal bank accounts.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/14/2022 | | <p>A proactive query identified potential fraud on the part of a District of Columbia Housing Authority Employee.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/14/2022 | | <p>The Lincoln Housing Authority advised the Kansas City HUD OIG office that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Section 8/HCPV tenant receiving benefits from the Lincoln Housing Authority, had been failing to report employment and income since approximately 2011. This amounted to a loss of nearly \$12,000. HHS OIG, USDA OIG, SSA OIG, and the State of Nebraska all incurred losses due to suspected false statements made by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The combined loss may be in excess of \$70,000.</p> | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 12/14/2022 | | <p>The complainant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the primary witness, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Both (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) worked for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of Illinois (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Aurora, IL 60501. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HOPWA funds. The complainant stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and recently discovered that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was allegedly going to provide housing for one of their employees named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) allegedly instructed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to house (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) under the program even though (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not qualify. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) felt uncomfortable with the request, and informed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) instructions. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) subsequently contacted the HUD OIG hotline.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| | 12/14/2022 | | HUD OIG received a complaint alleging a former (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) embezzled Housing Authority Funds through misuse of the Housing Authority credit card and blank checks for personal expenses and giving himself a pay raise. The investigation confirmed this individual's misuse of the Housing Authority credit card for personal expenses. The individual was charged, arrested, and pled guilty to embezzlement. The individual was sentenced to 60 months of probation and ordered to pay \$37,164.10 in restitution to the Housing Authority. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 12/15/2022 | | It is alleged that Financial Freedom violated 18 U.S.C. § 1014 by knowingly making false statements to reverse mortgage borrowers and their heirs in order to force those mortgages into default for the purpose of influencing the actions of the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) upon claims for mortgage insurance benefits. Financial Freedom willfully violated federal regulations by misrepresenting to borrowers and their estates and personal representatives that a mortgage may only be satisfied by paying the outstanding balance in full, knowing that those parties were entitled under federal law to satisfy the mortgage by selling the property for 95% of the appraised value, in which case Financial Freedom would be barred from foreclosing on the property and submitting a claim to HUD for mortgage insurance benefits for reimbursement of foreclosure related costs and expenses. It is alleged that Financial Freedom Acquisitions LLC violated 18 USC Section 1343 by devising a scheme to obtain mortgage insurance benefits from the federal government by means of false or fraudulent pretenses and representations and executing such scheme using interstate mail carriers. Financial Freedom mailed letters to borrowers and their heirs containing misleading and deceptive statements for the purpose of preventing those parties from exercising their rights under federal law to satisfy a reverse mortgage by means other than repaying the mortgage balance in full. | Case Adjudicated | |
| (b)(7)(E) | 12/15/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) The United Community Housing Coalition (UCHC) alleges that UCHC is mismanaging HUD grant funds and private funding relating to the coronavirus pandemic response efforts in the City of Detroit. Specific allegations include: inflating employee numbers, falsifying documents relating to payouts, and falsifying accounting statements to cover payouts. The proceeds of this alleged illegal activity are then being moved throughout UCHC's accounting, repairs, legal, and other departments. | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/15/2022 | | On 12/03/2015, the United States Attorney's Office and FBI informed SA (b)(6) of individuals and entities engaging in bid-rigging and contract steering for the repair and preservation of REO properties in cities and towns throughout Massachusetts. Individuals engaged in the scheme possibly included members of organized crime and public officials. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 12/16/2022 | | Case agent received a telephone call from a source desiring to remain anonymous wherein it was alleged that two City officials were involved in the improper selection of a City-employee owned company as a HUD sub-grantee. | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/19/2022 | | (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) for Planning and Economic Development for the City of St. Paul, contacted our office regarding concerns over some CDBG funds. (b)(6) stated he was contacted by a current Board Member regarding the previous Board Chairman. It is alleged (b)(6) may have misappropriated over \$200,000 in CDBG funds for their own personal use. The City of St. Paul has discontinued any and all disbursements pending a review and or audit of these funds. (b)(6) asked for our assistance in this matter. | Subject deceased/resigned/terminated | |
| | 12/20/2022 | | (b)(7)(E) | Lack of evidence/information | |
| | 12/21/2022 | | While vouchering out the property due to consistent poor REAC and MOR ratings, HUD staff believed red flags existed which may indicate that the property management and/or owner billed HUD for ghost tenants. Ultimately investigation did not substantiate allegation of ghost tenants but DID reveal that owners misrepresented the identity of interest relationship between itself and the property management company. Referral to HUDOGC for administrative action which resulted in a Civil Money Penalty Judgement against owner and management. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 12/22/2022 | | Subject entity receives HUD funds through the Continuum of Care program to provide housing and services to homeless in Tioga County PA. (b)(6) is alleged to have used funds to pay personal expenses, including fuel for a private plane, a timeshare, and life insurance. | Case Adjudicated | |
| | 12/22/2022 | | NY State Comptrollers Office requested assistance in their review of a complaint that Bethesda House of Schenectady (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) paying relatives no show jobs. | Lack of evidence/information | |

| | Date Closed | | Investigative Description | Disposition | Narrative for those marked *Redacted Narrative* |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| (b)(7)(E) | 12/29/2022 | (b)(7)(E) | <p>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Ramsey County Government, contacted the hotline pertaining to a potential overpayment. Specifically, based on his job responsibilities, it appears Ramsey County received an overpayment from HUD in the amount of \$114,664 from October 2018 to May 2020.</p> | Lack of HUD nexus/loss | |
| | 12/30/2022 | | <p>On April 2, 2021, the U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of West Virginia, referred a potential contracting fraud scheme to HUD OIG alleging that a State of West Virginia subcontractor influenced his mother's home to be built beyond program standards. HUD OIG investigation determined that the subcontractor's mother received a CDBG-DR funded home, and that the home was built beyond program standards as it included additional features that exceeded those described in the State's contract. Prosecution was declined due to a lack of evidence associated with criminal elements related to the home's construction.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/30/2022 | | <p>Hotline Complaint (b)(7)(E) indicates that a CPD Recipient received grant funds to repair his home in New Jersey and allegedly did not use the funds for its intended purpose.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/30/2022 | | <p>The Town of Brookhaven HA submitted the SCDA a complaint against (b)(6) alleging she committed Section 8 fraud by under reporting her income.</p> | Prosecution declined of (b)(7)(E) | |
| | 12/30/2022 | | <p>This investigation is being opened pursuant to information received that Section 8 owner (b)(6) is charging a tenant above the HAP contract. In addition, it is suspected that (b)(6) owns several properties rented as part of the Section 8 program that have significant HQS violations.</p> | No Action Taken | |