

| Date Closed | Description   | Disposition                    |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 1/3/2023    | Between 2019 and 2021, the FTC received several complaints from individuals claiming to have been defrauded by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Washington DC). Per the complainants, the individuals would contact (b)(6) regarding their mortgage terms and sought help renegotiating the payments and/or percentages. (b)(6) assured the individuals seeking mortgage loan modification that it could achieve favorable new terms for the borrowers and help them avoid bankruptcy. In each case, (b)(6) did not succeed in renegotiating the lending terms for each borrower and in one case the individual did have to declare bankruptcy. Complainants alleged that (b)(6) kept the down payment (i.e. retainer) for their proposed services and therefore defrauded them by failing to render services or return the borrowers' money. Based upon complaints it appears that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) offered their services online. | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/3/2023    | Complainant alleges that HUD (b)(7) staff departed from HUD policies, stated goals, and procedures; engaged in a scheme to destroy and force the sale of HUD insured complexes owned or controlled by complainant, causing a loss of more than \$5 million in economic value. The investigation revealed no evidence to indicate any member of HUD's staff participated in criminal activity or intentional misconduct related to complainant's allegations.  | No Action Taken                |
| 1/3/2023    | On January 23, 2020, Quality Assurance Division, HUD, advised that HUD staff received notification from an external sources that a lender had engaged in improper conduct in an effort to obtain Direct Endorser approval. The alleged conduct included improper use of CHUMS IDs without the consent of the appropriate parties, misrepresentations that loans were insured, and that a HUD employee in the test case department (b)(6) (b)(7) Home Ownership Center would endorse loans at the direction of AHL Funding affiliated persons. The sources also provided details of an in person meeting with a man that was purportedly the HUD employee. QAD further advised that two of the loans in question were endorsed by a HUD employee.  | Employee Matter Referred       |
| 1/6/2023    | On February 26, 2020, a federal law enforcement agency asked for HUD OIG's assistance with investigating a mortgage fraud perpetrated by a FHA-insured borrower who is also under investigation for an extensive identity theft scheme. After going into an early payment default, the borrower and his wife filed multiple bankruptcy petition with the apparent sole purpose of forestalling foreclosure of the aforementioned mortgage.  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 1/9/2023    | (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/10/2023   | (b)(6) spoke with the Cottonport Housing Authority (CHA) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) advised a recent audit discovered approximately \$14,000 in HUD funds may have been misdirected by (b)(6) for her personal gain. The initial finding also indicates rent rolls are in the negative possibly indicating tenant payments are being converted for (b)(6) personal gain. (b)(6) may have been creating false receipts and records to give to the tenants to show rent was paid. The approximate loss may be more as the recent audit was a new company which previous audits done by another company may not have uncovered.  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 1/10/2023   | (b)(7)(E)   | (b)(7)(E)                      |
| 1/11/2023   | (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in Syracuse, NY, has been cited numerous times dating back to 2015, by the Onondaga County Health Department for failure to remediate lead-based paint violations in his rental properties in and around the Syracuse, NY area. There have been multiple children who formerly resided in (b)(6) (b)(7) property that has been identified with elevated blood levels. (b)(6) also initiated property sales, wherein he falsely claimed not to have any knowledge of lead-based paint problems with the property, which can be proven false through the Onondaga County District Attorney's Office since he was charged criminally for the lead paint and had extensive contact with their office.  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/11/2023   | It is alleged that HCV recipients/Authorized Tenants filed/caused the filing with Employment Development Department (EDD) of fraudulent Unemployment Insurance (UI) benefits claims that falsely assert the named claimants are self-employed independent contractors who were negatively affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, triggering eligibility for UI benefits under the Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) provision of the CARES Act.  | Case Adjudicated               |

| Date Closed | Description  | Disposition                    |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1/12/2023   | Complainant alleges DEKALB COUNTY GOVERNMENT(DCG) staff are wrongfully using federal grant funds from multiple agencies to operate the counties LOCAL SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE(LSBE) program. Complainants allege the program was meant to employ small businesses as a subcontractor on federally funded contracts but instead the small businesses are not being paid in accordance with the terms of the DSG program and that the funds from the grants are somehow being kickbacked to DCG executive staff by way of the prime contractors that are awarded the contracts. The OIG investigation has not substantiated wrongful government use of a HUD grant. Records discovered during the course of the investigation have disclosed an apparent invoice fraud scheme by a grant sub-recipient of DeKalb County and Clayton County NSP grants. Investigation has uncovered multiple fraudulent invoices and affidavits. Subcontractors have stated that the invoices found in the government records were not the actual invoices provided to NPI and signatures found on the affidavits were not their signatures. The investigation has uncovered that NPI submitted invoices dated 2018 & 2019 to Clayton County HUD Programs Division, however statements given to OIG from NPI subcontractors indicate that NPI altered or created falsified invoices. The USAO denied criminal prosecution. OIG referred the investigation to HUD OGC- Office of Program Enforcement (b)(7)(E)   | No Action Taken                |
| 1/13/2023   | (b)(6) currently receives Section 8 housing through the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA). (b)(6) is/was employed by Nevada Welfare and is married to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C). (b)(6) failed to report her marriage to (b)(6) and his income to both Nevada Welfare and the SNRHA. Nevada Welfare is pursuing prosecution of (b)(6) as she is a state employee (b)(6) is the Section 8 head of household.  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 1/17/2023   | An email referral from CIC (PHA sub-grantee for ERA funds) warned that a landlord recipient of COVID-19 rental assistance funds may have overstated lease values to receive additional government payments. Subsequent phone contact with the landlord's attorney suggest that the case may have merit and that repayment is an option.  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 1/18/2023   | We received a complaint from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) regarding a tenant who appeared to have an unauthorized tenant and appeared to have domestic violence issues at the residence. The loss to the Housing Authority appears to be over \$10,000.  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/18/2023   | (b)(6) (b)(7) alleged that she was scammed through a listing on gosection8.com (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), who claimed to be a the landlord of (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Derwood MD 20855. After responding to a listing on gosection8.com, (b)(6) (b)(7) paid a deposit and fees to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who later refused to give (b)(6) (b)(7) a receipt and entry to the home.  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/24/2023   | The solicitor for the Housing Authority of the County of Berks (HACB) contacted (b)(6) (b)(7) R3 regarding (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was terminated by HACB. A forensic audit determined that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) received questionable payouts not supported by HA policy.  | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 1/24/2023   | (b)(6) (b)(7) uses personal credit card for operational purchases to gain credit card incentives to use for personal use. (b)(6) (b)(7) circumvents policies and procedures to gain minority only Board of Directos and contractors this is not part of a diversity and inclusion program it's because she is a racist against white people. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) They drive around all day. Eat at restaurants personal errands and do not (b)(6) (b)(7) These vehicle are expensive and there is no reason both have to drive around doing nothing. (b)(6) (b)(7) allows it. (b)(6) (b)(7) removed a GPS tracking device from his work vehicle he has been reported not being on work site at various times of day. Using company vehicle for personal reasons. Also was cited at early morning hours a at demolished cite where copper was stolen. Inventory has been loss when he was on call if items he used for supplementary employment doing housing maintenance just as he goes for housing authority. This is a conflict of interest. None of these individuals sign an ethics form or supplementary employment form. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and clog rounds also a conflict of interest. (b)(6) (b)(7) mis uses funds. She is a contracted employee there is no internal procurement policy or Comptroller. The only procurement policy is a form with thresholds and are not in accordance with the Pennsylvania Procurement code. (b)(6) (b)(7) also used his (b)(6) (b)(7) to use when shopping for a personal vehicle out of york city. He was not reprimanded for removing the GPS but other employees are required to keep them on their vehicles. Witnesses to the unethical behavior by all are (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Also a employee named (b)(6) (b)(7) who is an (b)(6) (b)(7) officer is aware of the misuse but he directed to by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) In addition all (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) have credit card they over spend and take home for personal use (b)(6) (b)(7) is famous for this also it is alleged that he has (b)(6) (b)(7) property in his home such as a GeneralElectric Refrigrrstor and a snapper snow blower. That were missing fixed asset equipment the agency does not fixed asset inventories. Material inventories are handled by staff who are able to manipulate the inventory. This housing authority needs is high performing because it's all hands on deck the week before inspection when repairs are made but there is a lot of unethical and abuse of tax dollars for personal use. This is on going and has been for many years. | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 1/24/2023   | An anonymous complainant alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Lebanon, MO Housing Authority participated in the following fraud schemes: issued painting contract (b)(6) (b)(7) in 2009 using false competitive bids, sold surplus equipment and collected the money personally, purchased company vehicles below market value, and engaged in nepotism.  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 1/24/2023   | It is alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7) a participant in the Continuum of Care (CoC) program was committing fraud. Santa Fe Community Housing Trust (SFCHT) believed the participant was not living in the unit subsidized with CoC funds. Grantee staff became suspicious after learning that the participant was moving into a single family house. In addition, staff believed the participant was not reporting all of his income which included possible income from selling paintings. According to the information provided, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(7) indicated that he was living in a single family home and renting out the subsidized unit.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/25/2023   | Information received by both an anonymous letter and by (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7) have provided contracts, loans and a HUD grant to return political favors, campaign contributions, and to financially benefit. Information was also provided that the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Office of Economic Development is also providing loans to businesses and may be receiving kickbacks or political sway for (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |

| Date Closed | Description  | Disposition                    |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1/26/2023   | After meeting with Housing Authorities in the Northern District of Indiana and Investigators from the Indiana FSSA, the reporting Agent learned that potential fraud involving portability in Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program is reportedly occurring. The reporting Agent was informed that individuals in Illinois apply to the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program through various Housing Authorities in Indiana. After being placed on the waitlist, and eventually getting selected for a Voucher, some individuals will select a unit in Indiana and receive Housing Assistance Payments through the Housing Authority, but remain living in Illinois without ever moving and living in the Section 8 Assisted unit in Indiana. The Reporting Agent was informed that the individual often "ports" the Voucher back to a Housing Authority in Illinois. Based on the allegations, it appears that these individuals are attempting to bypass the longer waitlists in Illinois and defrauding HUD and various Indiana Housing Authorities in the process. The Reporting Agent received information from FSSA Investigator (b)(6) (b) regarding fraud occurring by tenant (b)(6) (b) in Peru, Indiana. It is alleged that (b)(6) received a Voucher in Peru, Indiana but remained living in Illinois where she worked and continued to receive food stamps. Peru City Housing Authority (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) also provided the reporting Agent with information about tenant (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who they suspect is living in Illinois rather than her Section 8 Assisted unit in Indiana.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 1/27/2023   | HUD OIG received allegations from a complainant that alleges Consumer Debt Law Firm would help modify the complainant's mortgage for a monthly fee which would go towards the complainant's mortgage. However, the investigation was unable to substantiate the allegation due to no criminal offense taking place.  | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 1/27/2023   | On July 12th 2017 the reporting Agent received a phone call from EPA-Criminal Investigations Division Special Agent (b)(6) (b) regarding possible criminal and/or civil offenses occurring during lead removal at a residence in Richmond, Indiana. SA (b)(6) advised that he believed the money being used for the repairs originated from a HUD grant administered through the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority. SA (b)(6) advised that the homeowner of the property undergoing to lead work complained about unsafe work practices, and documented the issues with photographs. He advised that a contractor, Aluminum Brothers in Richmond Indiana, performed the work. SA (b)(6) advised that violations of 15 U.S. Code Chapter 53 Toxic Substances Control Act may have occurred. The following day, the reporting Agent, EPA-CID Special Agent (b)(6) (b) and Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) Investigator (b)(6) (b) met with staff from the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority. The reporting Agent learned that the money being used for the lead removal at the complainant's residence is HUD Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Disaster Recovery funds that IHADA received in 2009 and 2010. Specifically, the funds used for the project were awarded in 2015 to the City of Richmond received \$350,000 dollars for Owner Occupant repairs. At least one other property has been identified in which it is alleged that Aluminum Brothers violated the HUD Approved Lead Safe Work Practices and possibly the Toxic Substances Control Act.  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 1/31/2023   | (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(A)  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 2/1/2023    | HUD OIG obtained a complaint that alleged New York City's (NYC) Build It Back (BIB) program wasted millions of Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery dollars. BIB allegedly required the use of unnecessary specialized construction methods which were significantly more expensive.  | No Action Taken                |
| 2/2/2023    | (b)(7)(E)  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |
| 2/2/2023    | The Denver HUD HOC-REO Division received the following complaint: (b)(6) (b) filed a quit claim deed against a HUD REO property # (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Alvin, TX 77511) that was under contract to be sold (b)(6) (b) asserted to pass title to the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) which (b)(6) (b) claims to be the trustee for. It is believed this is some type of scam operation by (b)(6) (b) who has no relationship to this property at all. While looking into the complaint the HUD HOC discovered (b)(6) (b) filed the same type of quit claim deed on another REO property # (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Angleton, TX 77515) a town close to Alvin, TX. Additionally, the HUD HOC discovered (b)(6) (b) has filed several other similar quit claim deeds on other homes not involved with HUD/FHA of the REO program in Brazoria County.   | Case Adjudicated               |
| 2/3/2023    | The complaint referred allegations of FCA violations allegedly committed by IDC Research, Inc., ("IDC") and/or International Data Group, Inc., ("IDG") in their capacity as government contractors that provide information technology services to the United States Government. It is alleged that IDC and/or IDG submitted or caused the submission of false claims for information technology products and services sold to the Government based on a lack of disclosure that they are controlled by an instrumentality of the Chinese Government. IDC and/or IDG are government contractors. As government contractors, IDC/IDG are subject to The Federal Acquisition Regulations System (FAR) and its Department of Defense counterpart, Defense Federal Acquisition Regulations System (DFAR). Both FAR and DFAR regulate companies engaging in government contracting and prohibit government contractors from having ties with specific countries, including China and/or instrumentalities of the Chinese government. It is alleged that IDC and/or IDG submitted or caused the submission of false and fraudulent claims to the United States in part by concealing that their true owner, China Oceanwide Holding Group, Co., Ltd. ("China Oceanwide"). In 2017, China Oceanwide acquired a 90% ownership in IDG and its subsidiary, IDC. China Oceanwide is one of China's the largest conglomerates, with assets and investments in banking, insurance, energy, media, and technology. China Oceanwide (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) who is an active member of the Chinese Communist Party ("CCP") (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) China Oceanwide's CCP Committee. It is believed that HUD awarded a contract and paid IDC Research during the period of ownership by China Oceanwide. | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)(C)    |
| 2/6/2023    | HUD-OIG will review all COVID-19/ CARE Act allegations and lead and/ or provide necessary assistance for related investigations.   | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)       |
| 2/6/2023    | (b)(7)(E)  |                                |
| 2/7/2023    | Commerce Tower is an FHA insured 355-unit residential project with 3 active floors of commercial lease and 3 additional floors on unfinished commercial space.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |

| Date Closed | Description  | Disposition                    |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 2/7/2023    | <p>(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Fulton Housing Authority (FHA) was placed on administrative leave by the FHA board, after allegedly locking out three families, with only three days notice. (b)(7) allegedly entered the homes when tenants were not there and took personal possessions. In addition, (b)(7) hired a contractor to renovate units at the Housing Authority and also allegedly hired the same contractor to renovate his own home. There is speculation that he may have bought materials in bulk and used some of the materials purchased by FHA at his own home. And (b)(6) allegedly falsified an affidavit submitted in court during the eviction process.</p>  | Case Adjudicated               |
| 2/7/2023    | <p>Complainant alleges that 3 members of OHA's board negotiated and coordinated the upcoming sale of OHA's building, as well as the subsequent purchase of the neighboring building and the sale of its parking area to the buyer of OHA's current building. It is alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) involved in this deal and another's (b)(7) conducting the bond work for it. Also, it is alleged that board members have interfered with the day-to-day operations of OHA, to include the forced hiring of personnel who (b)(6) (b)(7) sees as unfit for the position and negotiating and coordinating business dealings for OHA that circumvent HUD procurement regulations.</p>  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(F) |
| 2/7/2023    | <p>It has been alleged that Tribal Housing Authority Board members diverted COVID-19 funds to themselves for their own personal use.</p>   | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 2/8/2023    | <p>HUD OIG is in receipt of a referral from the Atlanta HOC alleging a fraudulent loan in that the borrower's bank statements appear to be falsified and there appear to be discrepancies in the Verification of Employment. The selling entity who obtained the majority of the loan proceeds is (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) xx</p>  | Statute of limitations         |
| 2/9/2023    | <p>HUD OIG received allegations from the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) pertaining to the Needham Housing Authority and Wellesley Housing Authority. DHCD received allegations from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) that the subsidized housing waitlist was not being maintained, federally subsidized units in public housing developments were listed as vacant; however, individuals were living in the units and rent was being collected. When the housing authority employee brought up discrepancies to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) changes were made internally to the PHA Network software system and the individuals residing in those vacant units were reflected in the software system. However, those individuals were not processed correctly as they were not on the wait list. Also, annual or interim rent determinations were not being completed by housing authority staff for current tenants and there were no tenant files for other tenants. Also, allegedly (b)(6) (b)(7) married in (b)(6) (b)(7) and the family members of her husband were moved into (b)(6) (b)(7) HUD subsidized units.</p>   | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 2/11/2023   | <p>This project will determine whether evidence of potential collusive bidding exists in the Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) bidding and contract award process. The project will cover contracts awarded in Texas and North Carolina with CDBG-DR funding for hurricanes and tropical storms between 2016 and 2018. If potential collusive bidding is identified, it will be referred to the appropriate office for investigation/case opening.</p>   | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)(A)    |
| 2/13/2023   | <p>The complainant stated the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) has been giving contracts to friends and previous people they have employed without bringing the matter to the rest of the board members. When a vote was taken based on the laws in Indiana regarding to having a more unbiased political group stating if there are (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) of them could be democratic or republican. The complainant stated after the vote (b)(7) notified, (b)(6) (b)(7) Public Housing of Indiana (b)(6) (b)(7) threatened to make their housing authority receivership because of this vote, and now they are trying to use by laws to taken the complainant off the board of directors for the housing authority. The complainant has (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) as well as email documents. The complainant stated (b)(7) has been working with the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) (b)(7) for the contracts dealing with the housing authority. The complainant stated that when other bids were requested to look at, to see if they (b)(7) had chosen the best bid. The complainant stated these bids are all from people who know (b)(7) and the bids are given to the exclusively, even though it is public for other people to attempt to bid. These issues started October 2021 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) that has had 2 other individuals occupy that position in less than 6 months. The complainant stated these issues are continuing to persist, and the governor has attempted to have 3 of the board members removed from their position based on incidences similar to these.</p>   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(F) |
| 2/15/2023   | <p>The complainant stated that the subject, the Montcalm County Housing Commission, is forcing HUD participants to sign documents that are not true and are threatening them with eviction if they do not. She stated that her and her family moved into the home (b)(6) (b)(7) She stated that shortly after that, her husband lost his job and that they did not have any income. She stated that after that, the subject made them sign a document saying that they were receiving several hundred dollars a month from their families. She states that that is not true and that the subject said they would be breaking the lease agreement and would be evicted if they did not sign. She stated that this has happened every time that her husband has lost his job. She stated that the subject also refuses to modify the rent to match the families' income, especially when the income is lower than it had been previously. She stated that she talked to a receptionist named (b)(7) about this issue, and that (b)(6) (b)(7) stated that the head of the housing commission, a woman named (b)(7) is the one who is forcing the housing commission to make everyone sign the documents. She stated that she has the documents on file and is willing to share. She stated that she talked to (b)(7) about damages caused to her car by lawn work done by the housing commission, and that (b)(7) said it was up to the complainant to pay off the damages. The complainant stated that the lease included fees for things such as losing the key to the house, and that the subject keeps raising the price on the fees even though the lease has already been signed.</p> | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 2/15/2023   | <p>Received allegation from the USAO that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) QN Corporaton has been collecting extra monies from section 8 tenants. Further, it is alleged that he has them fill out extra leases and agreements to pay him extra monies not listed on the HAP Contracts, and not listed on other section 8 documentation. (b)(7) allegedly owns at least 25 residential properties in the Chicagoland area. QN Corp is a rental management company, also involved in remodeling, and homebuilding services.</p>   | Case Adjudicated               |
| 2/15/2023   | <p>An Anonymous complainant alleged (b)(6) (b)(7) is diverting property maintenance supplies and company equipment (b)(6) (b)(7) to her own privately owned property which she leases in Worcester, MA. Also, allegedly (b)(7) diverted funds from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) which she owns, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) located out of her residence, (b)(6) (b)(7) Worcester, MA.</p>  | Lack of evidence/information   |

| Date Closed | Description  | Disposition                          |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2/15/2023   | <p>(b)(7)(E) Arkansas Development Finance Authority, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) HUD's State HOME Program, notified (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) of fraudulent money wire transfer that had occurred through a phishing email that resulted in wiring (b)(7) in HUD HOME funds to a fraudulent account. A brief timeline for the fraudulent draw: • Date of incidents with fictitious emails: between (b)(7)(E) (b)(7) Date State PJ - ADFA discovered the incident: (b)(7)(E) Amount of HOME funds: (b)(7)(E) Fictitious emails were sent from the following addresses: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) • Was this an isolated incident? The State PJ has indicated that this is a single incident. • What actions were taken and when: ADFA met with (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) (b)(7) and provided all email documentation and financial information regarding the falsified wiring instructions. Also, (b)(7)(E) ADFA reported this to Regions Financial Institution to determine if the wire could be reversed and (b)(7) Regions Financial Institution indicated it could not. The State's HOME PJ has submitted supporting documents to Little Rock Field Office.</p> | Lack of identifiable subject/witness |
| 2/16/2023   | (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)   | No Action Taken                      |
| 2/16/2023   | <p>(b)(7)(E) received a complaint about alleged multiple instances of Tenant and Landlord Fraud against Kennebec Valley Community Action Program (KVCAP). Complaint received from Maine State Housing. USAO would like HUD OIG to determine if the complaint is viable and worth investigating.</p>  | (b)(7)(E)                            |
| 2/21/2023   | <p>HUD OIG received information from Department members of the Housing Authority of Baltimore City (HABC) alleging that a two HABC employees abused their HABC purchasing card when they made unapproved fraudulent purchase's at two different bakeries. Further, it was alleged that one of he bakeries was owned and operated by one (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)</p>   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 2/21/2023   | <p>It is alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Housing Authority and others have misused HUD and FEMA funds. Specifically, (b)(6) misused of credit cards, made unauthorized expenditures, received and disbursed unauthorized bonuses to herself and employees.</p>   | Case Adjudicated                     |
| 2/21/2023   | <p>Complainant states that an organization receiving HUD funding is under investigation by the state of Tennessee for fraud and embezzlement occurring within the organization for the last several years. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) has been named as the subject.</p>   | Case Adjudicated                     |
| 2/21/2023   | <p>QAD LRS referral for FHA loan # (b)(6) stated that the lender ignored that the borrower was terminated from his employment, about a month before the loan closed on 02/28/2020. The details are as follows: During the QC audit, the written verification of employment was returned by the borrower's employer stating the borrower terminated his employment on 1/31/2020, which was prior to the 2/28/2020 close date. The borrower did not disclose the change in employment. In addition, the notes from the employer on the re-verification of employment indicated there is no employee by the name reflected as completing the VOE dated 2/25/2020. Verbal re-verification of employment was completed by lender on 2/27/20, within 10 days prior to Note date; thus, lender should have known of the borrower's termination of employment on 1/31/20.</p>  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 2/22/2023   | <p>(b)(6) (b)(7) the Housing Choice Voucher Coordinator (HCV) at the Hammond Housing Authority (HHA) contacted SA (b)(6) / indicated that she had discovered approximately 100 HCV tenants had received Covid Bailout and/or PPP funds. After discovering this (b)(6) began checking the tenant files for disclosed businesses and/or business income. Of the tenant files that have been reviewed, none of the tenants had listed a business or business income. (b)(6) was concerned about a possible fraud with either HUD or the SBA so she contacted HUD OIG.</p>   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 2/23/2023   | <p>(b)(6) (b)(7) the Beckley, West Virginia Police Department contacted HUD OIG alleging that (b)(6) (b)(7) raped a woman while (b)(6) / was in the Beckley area on official business. (b)(6) (b)(7) was arrested and charged, but charges were later dismissed and prosecution was declined. HUD OIG investigation determined that (b)(6) / sent lewd, sexually-explicit images from his HUD-issued iPhone. (b)(6) / resigned (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)</p>  | Case Adjudicated                     |
| 2/23/2023   | <p>The Indianapolis Field Office of HUD OIG received allegations that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jeffersonville was charging his Section 8 tenants extra money beyond what their actual tenant rent payment was supposed to be. (b)(6) was a Section 8 landlord prior to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Jeffersonville Housing Authority and HUD granted him a waiver with the requirement that the vouchers would be administered through (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) It was discovered that (b)(6) is related to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) and that was never disclosed to HUD.</p>  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 2/27/2023   | <p>HUD OIG received a referral from the Montgomery County Housing Authority alleging (b)(6) (b) HCVP landlord and (b)(6) / HCVP tenant violated the terms of the HAP contract and program requirements by failing to disclose their relationship. (b)(6) is allegedly (b)(6) (b)</p>   | Case Adjudicated                     |
| 3/1/2023    | <p>HUD OIG Detroit Field Office, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) received a referral from the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Oakland Resident Agency pertaining to an online tip that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) a federally convicted felon by the U.S. Attorney for mortgage fraud illegally participated in acquiring developer contracts for \$88,200,000.00 in FHA backed mortgages over a five year period, from 2014 through 2017. The allegation/ tip further alleged that (b)(6) signed four individual and separate federal documents under penalty of criminal and/ or civil prosecution wherein he made the assertion that he had no prior criminal history and that all statements made on these forms were true and correct.</p>  | No Action Taken                      |
| 3/1/2023    | <p>The U. S. Attorney's Office, District of Massachusetts requested HUD OIG participation in the Benefits Fraud Task Force. The task force is made up of federal, state, and local law enforcement. HUD OIG will focus on subjects having a HUD program nexus.</p>   | Case Adjudicated                     |
| 3/1/2023    | <p>In or about 2016, twelve shuttered Newark public school properties were conveyed to the Newark Housing Authority (NHA) and then sold to developers or charter schools. The properties were appraised at \$26 million and sold for only \$14.4 million.</p>  | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)(E)          |
| 3/3/2023    | <p>(b)(6) (b)(7)(C) in Union County, NJ may have gained a benefit from the sale of a HOME assisted property in Roselle, NJ for a much lesser than expected fair market price.</p>  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 3/5/2023    | <p>On (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) notified SA (b)(6) that Chippewa-Cree tribal officials asked him to report the suspected embezzlement of funds from the Chippewa-Cree Housing Authority by (b)(6) (b)(7) and two additional, unidentified employees.</p>  | Prosecution declined                 |
| 3/6/2023    | <p>Please see attached (b)(7)(E) documents.</p>  | Prosecution declined                 |

| Date Closed | Description   | Disposition                          |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 3/6/2023    | On January 10, 2022 the Agent was forwarded a notification brought by HUD Quality Assurance Division which had informed OI of a Lender Self Report created by the mortgage company, Fairway Independent Mortgage Corporation (FIMC). According to the self-report, FIMC had received a concern from a credit provider who had doubts about the validity of a credit letter submitted to them for issuance of a credit supplement. FIMC had their quality control team investigate their loan officer's pipeline and discovered fraudulent data within three loan files. FIMC was able to determine the fraudulent data came from Loan Office and Branch Manager (b)(7)(E) computer and user profile. FIMC then self-reported the findings to HUD and the matter was brought to HUD OIG OI's attention.  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 3/7/2023    | (b)(7)(C) left voice message with (b)(7)(E) advising his client was awarded a public bid from CMHA. His client was the (b)(7)(E) His client was approached by someone from CHMA who is at a relatively high level asking for a cash payment. His client refused. (b)(7)(E) would like to discuss how his client can anonymously report this activity. His client would like to avoid any retaliation from CMHA for reporting this information.  | Prosecution declined                 |
| 3/7/2023    | HUD OIG received a referral from the Prince George's County Police Department on an FHA insured property in Temple Hills, Maryland. A search warrant was conducted at this property resulting in the seizure of guns and narcotics, along with multiple arrests.  | Statute of limitations               |
| 3/8/2023    | Please see attached (b)(7)(E) documents.  | No Action Taken                      |
| 3/10/2023   | On February 13, 2018, the U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of West Virginia, referred a theft allegation to HUD OIG alleging that a Charleston-Kanawha Housing Authority (b)(7)(E) altered public housing tenant rental payments and deposited them into her personal bank account. HUD OIG investigation substantiated the allegation. The defendant pleaded guilty to an indictment charging her with violating 18 U.S.C. § 500, theft of money orders. From August 2007 to February 2018, the manager embezzled \$28,523 from the Authority. The manager was sentenced to 5 years' probation and ordered to pay \$17,543.92 in restitution to the Authority.  | Case Adjudicated                     |
| 3/10/2023   | (b)(7)(E)   | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)(E)          |
| 3/10/2023   | FBI and Postal Inspection Service requested the assistance with a fraud investigation involving an Allegheny County Housing Authority (ACHA) Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) participant (b)(7)(E) It is alleged that (b)(7)(E) falsely received approximately \$300,000 in Payroll Protection monies while reporting zero income to the ACHA. (b)(7)(E) receives full Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) and a Utility Allowance (UAP). This is a joint investigation with FBI, PIS, ACHA Police Department and HUD OIG.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)       |
| 3/14/2023   | Received a request from DHS OIG to accompany them on an interview on a case involving (b)(7)(C) Apartments. Witnesses have stated that (b)(7)(E) is charging potential tenants \$2000-\$3000 to jump the Section 8 Waiting list and be placed in units immediately.   | Prosecution declined                 |
| 3/15/2023   | HUD-OIG Office of Investigation received an anonymous complaint on alleged criminal acts by (b)(7)(C) Timber Lake Apartments (low-income housing) located on 37830 Chester Road, Avon, Ohio 44011. (b)(7)(E) who allegedly lives rent free has committed Pay Check Protection (PPP) fraud as well as abusing HUD Section 8. (b)(7)(E) allegedly submitted 25 fraudulent PPP Loans online for herself and her husband (b)(7)(E) and 23 Timber Lake tenants who are receiving food stamps, Section 8, Welfare Cash assistance, and Medicaid. (b)(7)(E) is the mastermind behind the operation and received payments from tenants after she completed the online loan applications. The complaint listed 25 tenants with names, addresses, fraudulent employer and PPP Loan awards. (b)(7)(E) is allegedly allowing her family and friends to move their boyfriends in the apartments but not requiring them to be on the lease. (b)(7)(E) is allegedly selling drugs at the apartment. (b)(7)(E) who was terminated from Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority for fraud and embezzlement (b)(7)(C) Timber Lake Apartments. Approximate Loss \$500,005.00   | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(7)(E)          |
| 3/15/2023   | On April 26, 2021, the HUD OIG received a referral from the CHA-OIG alleging that several City of Chicago Employees, including (b)(7)(E) were failing to report their true income to the Chicago Housing Authority, HCVP. Therefore, receiving HCV benefits that they were not entitled to receive. An initial complaint served to (b)(7)(E)  | Subject deceased/resigned/terminated |
| 3/15/2023   | Our office has conducted HUD database searches (ie. Neighborhood Watch) that revealed that (b)(7)(E) purchased (b)(7)(E) a property located at (b)(7)(E) Chicago, IL from (b)(7)(C) The Neighborhood Watch database lists the current insurance status as a claim (b)(7)(E) listed employer on the URLA is (b)(7)(E) Chicago, IL 60620. An Illinois Secretary of State records search of (b)(7)(E) revealed that (b)(7)(E) and that (b)(7)(C) Chicago, IL 60619. The Reporting Agent (RA) has received and reviewed Section 8 owner/tenant records that revealed that (b)(7)(E) continued to receive Section 8 HAP on behalf of Section 8 tenants at the (b)(7)(E) after the sale to (b)(7)(E) The Section 8 owner records list that (b)(7)(E) is the owner/business corporation owner/property management company. However, the listed (b)(7)(E) Chicago, IL. A Cook County Recorder of Deed's Office search revealed that on (b)(7)(E) sold a property located at (b)(7)(E) Chicago, IL 60643 to (b)(7)(E) The search revealed that a lis pendens foreclosure was filed (b)(7)(C) listed employer on the URLA is (b)(7)(C) Chicago, IL 60620. The RA has received and reviewed Section 8 owner records (b)(7)(C) that revealed that (b)(7)(E) was the business corporation owner, and that the (b)(7)(C) However, the Section 8 tenant at this property address was (b)(7)(C) of (b)(7)(C) on the Illinois Secretary of State corporation records search. Additionally (b)(7)(E) began to receive the Section 8 HAP on behalf of (b)(7)(C) until the current time. The last Section 8 HAP received by (b)(7)(E) on behalf of (b)(7)(C) The Agent recommends that this case be opened for further investigation. | Case Adjudicated                     |

| Date Closed | Description  | Disposition                     |
|-------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 3/16/2023   | On 1/5/2022; various news sources reported a fire at (b)(6) (b)(7) Philadelphia, PA - a PHA scattered site property (b)(6) (b)(7) 12 people died, including 8 children. Initial reports indicated that there may have been no working smoke detectors in the two unit property.  | No Action Taken                 |
| 3/17/2023   | On April 16, 2019, HUD OIG, Region 3, Richmond Field Office, received a telephonic referral from law enforcement regarding an FHA-insured borrower located in Chesapeake, VA. The law enforcement officer received a referral from a lender who advised that a borrower may have committed insurance fraud by negotiating two insurance claim checks totaling approximately \$360,000 that were made payable to him and the lender. The lender advised that they had never received the checks. The property, which was in the foreclosure process at the time, was burned to the ground. Approximately five years prior, the borrower had another property that was also burned to the ground. The referring law enforcement officer also expressed concern regarding the origination of the mortgage. Specifically, he questioned the authenticity of employment and self-employment documents. Additional information will be documenting in a case opening memorandum. | Case Adjudicated                |
| 3/17/2023   | This case is in receipt of Allegations that (b)(6) (b)(7) allegedly is involved in recruiting buyers for properties and subsequently assisting in providing false documentations to support the loan. Specifically, it is alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7) approached (b)(6) (b)(7) in regards to purchasing a property that was advertised for sale located at (b)(6) (b)(7) Chicago, IL 60620. It is alleged that (b)(6) (b)(7) informed (b)(6) (b)(7) her income was not high enough to purchase the property. (b)(6) (b)(7) allegedly had (b)(6) (b)(7) create W-2 tax forms to create income for (b)(6) (b)(7). The false W-2's in turn allegedly caused (b)(6) (b)(7) to have her SSI cut off and the IRS taxed (b)(6) (b)(7) for the alleged income in the loan file.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)  |
| 3/22/2023   | HUD OIG received a hotline complaint regarding the alleged misuse of Federal New Market Tax Credits by a wholly-owned subsidiary of a housing authority. Local news media published articles regarding the use of the tax credits throughout the country and how they did not benefit the city where the housing authority is located. Additionally, the complainant claimed that the entity and housing authority refused to disclose how the profits were spent.   | Lack of evidence/information    |
| 3/22/2023   | HUD OIG's Richmond Field Office received a telephonic complaint from a former Real Estate Broker, who had previously pled guilty to a one-count criminal information (Title 18 United States Code 371). The plea related to false statements made in the purchase of HUD real estate owned properties. The broker advised he/she recently became aware of an individual who bought a three-unit property that was financed by a Federal Housing Administration-insured mortgage and the borrower did not move into the property. Additional, the broker advised that the borrower was instructed by the real estate agent representing him in the transaction that he did not have to move into the property. Instead, he should have his mail sent to the property and he could rent out one of the units to a family member.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)  |
| 3/22/2023   | HUD OIG received information from a housing authority investigator that a former doctor, who was previously convicted in federal court of defrauding Medicare and Medicaid, is now receiving Section 8 rental assistance through the Housing Choice Voucher program. The doctor was sentenced to 50 months in prison and ordered to pay more than \$3 million in restitution. Further, he has an indefinite federal debarment, which would preclude him from receiving assistance through the HCV program.   | Prosecution declined            |
| 3/22/2023   | A Virginia housing authority reported that an individual attempted to negotiate a counterfeit check that was more than \$8,200, which was drawn on one of their accounts. Based on their research, the individual who the check was made payable to was a juvenile that is one of its residents.   | Prosecution declined            |
| 3/23/2023   | A review by HUD Public Housing - Detroit revealed unsupported expenditures in excess of \$100,000 during a review. The unsupported expenditures were mainly purchases utilizing the Commissions two credit cards Talmer Bank and Trust and Discover.   | Case Adjudicated                |
| 3/23/2023   | The HUD OIG Hotline received an online written complaint alleging that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) are using HUD grant funds for their own personal needs, such as groceries, expensive meats, vitamins, and travel expenses.   | Lack of evidence/information    |
| 3/24/2023   | (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Municipal Court (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) has an active FHA loan, and source reporting and subsequent investigation indicate she and her husband may have committed fraud in getting her FHA loan modified when not eligible. (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) may have used the COVID-19 pandemic as reason for the modification.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)  |
| 3/24/2023   | (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) became a HCV participant in 2014 (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) signed a lease at (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) with landlord (b)(6) (b)(7)(C). Prior to (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) becoming a HCV participant, Beloit Housing Authority called HUD in Milwaukee inquiring if a tenant could sign a land contract. HUD advised a tenant was not allowed to enter into a land contract. In April 2019, Beloit Housing Authority discovered a land contract was filed at the Rock County Register of Deeds in (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) name. The start date of the land contract was February 7 2014.  | Case Adjudicated                |
| 3/24/2023   | Information received from the North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation indicated the subject was participating in fraud relating to the NC HOPE program. According to the information received, the subject was fraudulently applying for rental assistance for properties he owned, where family members were allegedly renting, resulting in approximately \$78,000 in NC HOPE payments. The subject was also a landlord through the Housing Choice Voucher Program through a local housing entity. Losses to HUD are unknown at this time.  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)  |
| 3/29/2023   | HUDOIG was contacted by Indiana Family and Social Services Administration (FSSA). FSSA is investigating a scheme in which false identities are being used to apply for and receive public assistance benefits, including benefits under the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, aka, Food Stamps. Upon researching addresses and persons identified in the scheme, HUDOIG found several persons that are believed to be receiving assistance through the Housing Choice Voucher Program but are not living in their HUD-assisted unit. In addition, one of the tenants received a PPP loan and was unreported.  | Lack of HUD nexus (b)(6) (b)(7) |
| 3/29/2023   | OIG received a telephone call from FBI inquiring about (b)(6) (b)(7)(C). FBI had received information regarding (b)(6) (b)(7)(C). (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) The information stated that (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was in receipt of HUD funds and (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was using a portion of those funds from (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) accounts for personal use.   | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E)  |

| Date Closed | Description   | Disposition                    |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 3/31/2023   | <p>The subject (b)(6) 7 used information from an insider in the city government to take advantage of a HUD buyout program as part of Hurricane Harvey relief to overcharge the city. The complainant (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) The complainant and her office received complaints from the tenants of the property at (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) about the (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) bragging about how he received \$50,000 from HUD to fix up the property, with the tenants reporting that he was keeping the \$50,000 for himself and the investors. The complainant stated that the subject is also the portfolio manager for the investment group that controls the property. The city was attempting to purchase the property through the buyback program when the subject received a tip from somebody within the city government that he could lie to the city and artificially raise the price of the property. The price of the property at purchase was \$6,050,000. The sale was finalized in 2021. The subject bragged about and made a tutorial on how to finesse HUD funds on Facebook and on YouTube. The complainant has the link to the YouTube video and can send it over. The subject goes into detail in the YouTube video and the actions he took. The complainant stated that the subject states which company he works for in the video, but she could not remember what it was at the time of the call. The complainant has more information that she can share with investigators.</p> | Lack of evidence/information   |
| 3/31/2023   | <p>PHA reports that former manager (b)(6) targeted Hispanics to exploit additional rent and security deposits which were ultimately kept by (b)(6) for her personal use.</p>  | Prosecution declined (b)(7)(E) |